

Shifts of finite type, Cuntz–Krieger algebras and their algebraic analogues, groupoids, and inverse semigroups

Toke Meier Carlsen

Facets of Irreversibility: Inverse Semigroups, Groupoids, and Operator Algebras

University of Oslo, 4–8 December 2017



UNIVERSITY OF
THE FAROE ISLANDS

Theorem 1

Let A and B be finite square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with no zero rows and no zero columns, and let R be an indecomposable reduced commutative ring with unit. The following are equivalent.

- 1 The one-sided shift spaces (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are continuously orbit equivalent.
- 2 The groupoids G_A and G_B are isomorphic as topological groupoids.
- 3 The inverse semigroups S_A and S_B are isomorphic.
- 4 The Cuntz–Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism.
- 5 The Steinberg algebras RG_A and RG_B are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

One-sided shifts of finite type

Let $A \in M_N(\{0, 1\})$ be a matrix with no zero rows and no zero columns. The space

$$X_A := \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}} : A_{x_n, x_{n+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } n\}$$

is a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space.

The map $\sigma_A : X_A \rightarrow X_A$

$$x_0 x_1 x_2 \cdots \mapsto x_1 x_2 \cdots$$

is a local homeomorphism. The pair (X_A, σ_A) is a shift of finite type.

The *orbit* of $x \in X_A$ is

$$\bigcup_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma_A^m(\sigma_A^{-n}(\{x\})) = \{y \in X_A : \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y) \text{ for some } k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Continuous orbit equivalence

Let A and B be finite square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with no zero rows and no zero columns. If $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ is a homeomorphism that maps orbits to orbits, then for each $x \in X_A$ there exist nonnegative integers k_x, l_x such that

$$\sigma_B^{k_x}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l_x}(h(x)).$$

We say that h is a *continuous orbit equivalence* and that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are *continuously orbit equivalent* if there are continuous functions $k, l : X_A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $k', l' : X_B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma_B^{k(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l(x)}(h(x)) \quad \sigma_A^{k'(y)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y))) = \sigma_A^{l'(y)}(h^{-1}(y))$$

for all $x \in X_A$ and all $y \in X_B$.

This notion was, in this setting, introduced by Matsumoto.

The groupoid G_A

The *Deaconu-Renault groupoid* associated to the one-sided shift of finite type (X_A, σ_A) is

$$G_A := \{(x, n, y) \in X_A \times \mathbb{Z} \times X_A \mid \exists k, l \in \mathbb{N}, n = k - l: \sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y)\}$$

with unit space $G_A^{(0)} = \{(x, 0, x) \in G_A \mid x \in X_A\}$. The range map is $r(x, n, y) = (x, 0, x)$ and the source map is $s(x, n, y) = (y, 0, y)$. The product $(x, n, y)(x', n', y')$ is well-defined if and only if $y = x'$ in which case it equals $(x, n + n', y')$, while inversion is given by $(x, n, y)^{-1} = (y, -n, x)$. The topology on G_A has a basis consisting of sets of the form

$$Z(U, k, l, V) := \{(x, k - l, y) \in G_A \mid x \in U, y \in V\},$$

where $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U, V \subseteq X_A$ are open such that $\sigma_A^k|_U$ and $\sigma_A^l|_V$ are injective and $\sigma_A^k(U) = \sigma_A^l(V)$. With this, G_A is an amenable, second countable, étale, and locally compact Hausdorff groupoid.

Continuous orbit equivalence and groupoid isomorphism

If $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ is a continuous orbit equivalence, then there exists a function $m : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(x, n, y) \mapsto (h(x), m(x, n, y), h(y))$ is an isomorphism between G_A and G_B (one has to take a little care if there are isolated periodic points).

Conversely, if $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ is an isomorphism, then ϕ restricts to a homeomorphism between $G_A^{(0)}$ and $G_B^{(0)}$ and thus induces a homeomorphism $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ such that $\phi(x, 0, x) = (h(x), 0, h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$, and such that h is a continuous orbit equivalence.

The inverse semigroup S_A

The inverse semigroup S_A consists of homeomorphisms $\eta : \text{dom}(\eta) \rightarrow \text{ran}(\eta)$ where $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ran}(\eta) = V \times \mathbb{Z}$ for compact open subsets U, V of X_A , and there are continuous functions $k : U \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $l : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\eta(x, m) = (y, n)$, then $\sigma_A^{k(x)}(x) = \sigma_A^{l(y)}(y)$ and $m + l(y) = n + k(x)$.

A continuous orbit equivalence $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ induces an isomorphism $\psi : S_A \rightarrow S_B$ such that if $\eta \in S_A$ and $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $\psi(\eta)(x, m) = (h(y), m + k)$ for $(x, m) \in h(U) \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $(y, n) = \eta(h^{-1}(x), m)$ and k is some integer that depends on x and η .

The set of characters of the idempotent semi-lattice $\mathcal{E}(S_A)$ of S_A is homeomorphic to X_A , and the groupoid of germs of the action of S_A on the set of characters of $\mathcal{E}(S_A)$ is isomorphic to G_A . It follows that an isomorphism between S_A and S_B induces an isomorphism between G_A and G_B .

Cuntz–Krieger algebras

The *Cuntz–Krieger algebra* \mathcal{O}_A of A is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by partial isometries s_1, \dots, s_N subject to the conditions

$$s_i^* s_j = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \quad s_i^* s_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A_{i,j} s_j s_j^*$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, N$.

If $\alpha = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ is a word of elements from $\{1, \dots, N\}$, then we let $s_\alpha := s_{\alpha_1} \dots s_{\alpha_n}$, and we let

$$\mathcal{D}_A := \overline{\text{span}\{s_\alpha s_\alpha^* : \alpha \text{ is a finite word}\}}.$$

Then \mathcal{D}_A is isomorphic to $C(X_A)$.

Cuntz–Krieger algebras

There is an isomorphism from $C^*(G_A)$ to \mathcal{O}_A that maps $C(G_A^{(0)})$ onto \mathcal{D}_A . It follows that if G_A and G_B are isomorphic, then there is an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$. Conversely, if there is an isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$, then G_A and G_B are isomorphic. If A and B both satisfy the condition introduced by Cuntz and Krieger called condition (I), then this follows from a result by Renault which says that two second countable locally compact topological principal étale Hausdorff groupoids are isomorphic if and only if there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between their reduced C^* -algebras. The general case follows from a result by Brownlowe–C–Whittaker that says the groupoids of two countable directed graphs are isomorphic if and only if there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between their C^* -algebras.

Reconstruction of groupoids

This has recently been generalised by C–Ruiz-Sims-Tomforde that show that two second countable locally compact étale Hausdorff groupoids for which the interior of the isotropi is abelian and torsion-free, are isomorphic if and only if there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between their reduced C^* -algebras.

The equivalence of ① and ④ was also proven by Matsumoto for irreducible matrices satisfying condition (I) without the use of groupoids.

Steinberg algebras

The Steinberg algebra RG_A of G_A is $C_c(G_A, R)$ equipped with a convolution product defined similar to how the product of $C^*(G_A)$ is defined. If $R = \mathbb{C}$, then RG_A is a dense subalgebra of $C^*(G_A)$.

It follows that if G_A and G_B are isomorphic, then there is an isomorphism from RG_A to RG_B that maps $RG_A^{(0)}$ onto $RG_B^{(0)}$. The converse follows from a recent result of Steinberg which says that if R is an indecomposable commutative ring with unit, and G_1 and G_2 are Hausdorff ample groupoids such that G_1 has a dense set of objects x such that the group algebra over R of the isotropy group at x of the interior of the isotropy bundle of G_1 has no non-trivial units, then G_1 and G_2 are isomorphic if and only if there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between RG_1 and RG_2 .

Theorem 2

Let A and B be finite square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with no zero rows and no zero columns, and let R be an indecomposable commutative ring with unit. The following are equivalent.

- 1 The one-sided shift spaces (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are eventually conjugate.
- 2 There is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $c_A = c_B \circ \phi$.
- 3 There is an isomorphism $\psi : S_A^e \rightarrow S_B^e$ such that $e_A = e_B \circ \psi$.
- 4 The Cuntz–Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism that intertwines the gauge actions λ_t^A and λ_t^B .
- 5 The Steinberg algebras RG_A and RG_B are isomorphic by a graded diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

Eventually conjugacy

Let $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ be a homeomorphism. We say that h is an *eventual conjugacy* and that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are *eventually conjugate* if there is an $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sigma_B^k(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{k+1}(h(x)) \quad \sigma_A^k(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y))) = \sigma_A^{k+1}(h^{-1}(y))$$

for all $x \in X_A$ and all $y \in X_B$. This is a notion introduced by Matsumoto.

The cocycle c_A

The function $c_A : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $c_A(x, n, y) = n$. This is a continuous cocycle in the sense $c_A((x, n, y)^{-1}) = -c_A(x, n, y)$ and $c_A((x, n, y)(y, m, z)) = c_A(x, n + m, z)$.

If $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ is an eventual conjugacy, then

$(x, n, y) \mapsto (h(x), n, h(y))$ is an isomorphism between G_A and G_B such that $c_A = c_B \circ \phi$.

Conversely, if $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ is an isomorphism such that

$c_A = c_B \circ \phi$, then ϕ restricts to a homeomorphism between $G_A^{(0)}$ and $G_B^{(0)}$ and thus induces a homeomorphism $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ such that $\phi(x, 0, x) = (h(x), 0, h(x))$ for $x \in X_A$, and such that h is an eventual conjugacy.

The inverse semigroup S_A^e and the homomorphism $e_A : S_A^e \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

The inverse semigroup S_A^e is the subsemigroup of S_A consisting of homeomorphisms $\eta : \text{dom}(\eta) \rightarrow \text{ran}(\eta)$ where $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ran}(\eta) = V \times \mathbb{Z}$ for compact open subsets U, V of X_A , and there are $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\eta(x, m) = (y, n)$, then $\sigma_A^k(x) = \sigma_A^l(y)$ and $m + l = n + k$.

There is a homomorphism $e_A : S_A^e \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $e_A(\eta) = l - k$. An eventual conjugacy $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ induces an isomorphism $\psi : S_A^e \rightarrow S_B^e$ such that if $\eta \in S_A^e$ and $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $\psi(\eta)(x, m) = (h(y), n)$ for $(x, m) \in h(U) \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $(y, n) = \eta(h^{-1}(x), m)$.

Conversely, if $\psi : S_A^e \rightarrow S_B^e$ is an isomorphism such that $e_A = e_B \circ \psi$, then there is an eventual conjugacy $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ such that if $\eta \in S_A^e$ and $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $\psi(\eta)(x, m) = (h(y), n)$ for $(x, m) \in h(U) \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $(y, n) = \eta(h^{-1}(x), m)$.

The gauge action

There is an action $\mathbb{T} \ni t \mapsto \lambda_t^A \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_A)$ such that $\lambda_t^A(s_i) = ts_i$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

We have that the action γ_t induced by λ_t^A on $C^*(G_A)$ by the isomorphism between \mathcal{O}_A and $C^*(G_A)$ satisfies

$\gamma_t(f)(x, n, y) = t^n f(x, n, y)$ for $f \in C^*(G_A) \subseteq C_0(G_A)$ and $(x, n, y) \in G_A$. It follows that if there is an isomorphism

$\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $c_A = c_B \circ \phi$, then there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B that intertwines the actions λ_t^A and λ_t^B .

Conversely, James Rout and I showed that if there is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B that intertwines the actions λ_t^A and λ_t^B , then the isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ we get from this isomorphism satisfies $c_A = c_B \circ \phi$ (this also follows from the results of C–Ruiz–Sims–Tomforde).

The \mathbb{Z} -grading of RG_A

The cocycle $c_A : G_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading $\{(RG_A)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ given by $(RG_A)_n = \{f \in C_c(G_A, R) : \text{supp}(f) \subseteq c_A^{-1}(n)\}$.

It follows that if there is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $c_A = c_B \circ \phi$, then there is a graded diagonal-preserving isomorphism between RG_A and RG_B .

Conversely, Steinberg's result shows that if there is a graded diagonal-preserving isomorphism between RG_A and RG_B , then there is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $c_A = c_B \circ \phi$.

Notice that we do not need to assume that R is reduced here.

Theorem 3

Let A and B be finite square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with no zero rows and no zero columns, and let R be an indecomposable commutative ring with unit. The following are equivalent.

- 1 The one-sided shift spaces (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are conjugate.
- 2 There is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $\phi \circ \epsilon_A = \epsilon_B \circ \phi$.
- 3 There is an isomorphism $\psi : S_A \rightarrow S_B$ such that $\psi(S_A^r) = S_B^r$ and $\psi \circ r_A = r_B \circ \psi$.
- 4 The Cuntz–Krieger algebras \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism that intertwines the positive maps τ_A and τ_B .
- 5 The Steinberg algebras RG_A and RG_B are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism that intertwines κ_A and κ_B .

The map ϵ_A

The map $\epsilon_A : G_A \rightarrow G_A$ is defined by

$\epsilon_A(x, n, y) = (\sigma_A(x), n, \sigma_A(y))$. It is a continuous groupoid homomorphism.

It is easy to see that if $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ is a conjugacy, then there is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $\phi(x, n, y) = (h(x), n, h(y))$ and $\phi \circ \epsilon_A = \epsilon_B \circ \phi$.

Conversely, if $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ is an isomorphism such that $\phi \circ \epsilon_A = \epsilon_B \circ \phi$, then the restriction of ϕ to $G_A^{(0)}$ induces a conjugacy $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$.

The inverse semigroup S_A^r and the homomorphism r_A

The inverse semigroup S_A^r is the subsemigroup of S_A consisting of homeomorphisms $\eta : \text{dom}(\eta) \rightarrow \text{ran}(\eta)$ where $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ran}(\eta) = V \times \mathbb{Z}$ for compact open subsets U, V of X_A such that the restrictions of σ_A to each of U and V are injective.

The map $r_A : S_A^r \rightarrow S_A$ is defined by $r_A(\eta)(\sigma_A(x), n) = (\sigma_A(y), m)$ for $(x, n) \in \text{dom}(\eta)$ where $(y, m) = \eta(x, n)$.

A conjugacy $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ induces an isomorphism $\psi : S_A \rightarrow S_B$ such that if $\eta \in S_A$ and $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$, then

$\psi(\eta)(x, m) = (h(y), n)$ for $(x, m) \in h(U) \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $(y, n) = \eta(h^{-1}(x), m)$. This isomorphism $\psi : S_A \rightarrow S_B$ satisfies $\psi(S_A^r) = S_B^r$ and $\psi \circ r_A = r_B \circ \psi$.

The inverse semigroup S_A^r and the homomorphism r_A

Conversely, if $\psi : S_A \rightarrow S_B$ is an isomorphism such that $\psi(S_A^r) = S_B^r$ and $\psi \circ r_A = r_B \circ \psi$, then there is a conjugacy $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ such that if $\eta \in S_A$ and $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$, then $\psi(\eta)(x, m) = (h(y), n)$ for $(x, m) \in h(U) \times \mathbb{Z}$ where $(y, n) = \eta(h^{-1}(x), m)$.

The positive map τ_A

The map $\tau_A : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A$ is defined by $\tau_A(y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N s_i y s_j^*$. It is a completely positive map.

If we identify \mathcal{O}_A with $C^*(G_A) \subseteq C_0(G_A)$, then

$\tau_A(f)(x, n, y) = f(\epsilon_A(x, n, y))$ for $f \in C^*(G_A) \subseteq C_0(G_A)$ and $(x, n, y) \in G_A$.

It follows that an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $\phi \circ \epsilon_A = \epsilon_B \circ \phi$ induces a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_B that intertwines τ_A and τ_B .

Conversely, if $\pi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$ is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism such that $\pi \circ \tau_A = \tau_B \circ \pi$, then $\pi(\mathcal{F}_A) = \mathcal{F}_B$ and $\pi(f \circ \sigma_A) = \phi(f) \circ \sigma_B$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}_A = C(X_A)$. It follows that X_A and X_B are conjugate.

The map κ_A

The map $\kappa_A : RG_A \rightarrow RG_A$ is defined by $\kappa_A(f)(x, n, y) = f(\epsilon_A(x, n, y))$ for $f \in RG_A = C_c(G_A)$ and $(x, n, y) \in G_A$.

It follows that an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \rightarrow G_B$ such that $\phi \circ \epsilon_A = \epsilon_B \circ \phi$ induces a diagonal-preserving isomorphism between RG_A and RG_B that intertwines κ_A and κ_B .

Conversely, if $\pi : RG_A \rightarrow RG_B$ is a diagonal-preserving isomorphism such that $\pi \circ \kappa_A = \kappa_B \circ \pi$, then

$\pi(Rc_A^{-1}(0)) = Rc_B^{-1}(0)$ and $\pi(f \circ \sigma_A) = \phi(f) \circ \sigma_B$ for

$f \in RG_A^{(0)} = C(X_A, R)$, and it follows that (X_A, σ_A) and (X_B, σ_B) are conjugate.

Theorem 4

Let A and B be finite square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with no zero rows and no zero columns, and let R be an indecomposable reduced commutative ring with unit. The following are equivalent.

- ① The two-sided shift spaces $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow-equivalent.
- ② The groupoids $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic.
- ③ The inverse semigroups \tilde{S}_A and \tilde{S}_B are isomorphic.
- ④ The stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism.
- ⑤ The algebras $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ and $RG_B \otimes M_\infty(R)$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

Flow equivalence

The two-sided shift spaces $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ consists of the totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space

$$\bar{X}_A := \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : A_{x_n, x_{n+1}} = 1 \text{ for all } n\}$$

and the homeomorphism $\bar{\sigma}_A : \bar{X}_A \rightarrow \bar{X}_A$ given by

$$(\bar{\sigma}_A((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}))_m = x_{m+1}.$$

A *discrete cross section* of \bar{X}_A is a pair $(\bar{X}, \bar{\sigma})$ consisting of a closed subset $\bar{X} \subseteq \bar{X}_A$ and a homeomorphism $\bar{\sigma} : \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that there is a continuous function $r : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(x) = \bar{\sigma}_A^{r(x)}(x)$ and $\bar{\sigma}_A^j(x) \notin \bar{X}$ for all $x \in \bar{X}$ and all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r(x) - 1\}$, and $\bar{X}_A = \{\bar{\sigma}_A^n(x) : x \in \bar{X}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Flow equivalence

It follows from a theorem of Parry and Sullivan that $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow-equivalent if and only if there are discrete cross sections $(\bar{X}_1, \bar{\sigma}_1)$ and $(\bar{X}_2, \bar{\sigma}_2)$ of $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ respectively, such that $(\bar{X}_1, \bar{\sigma}_1)$ and $(\bar{X}_2, \bar{\sigma}_2)$ are conjugate.

The groupoid \mathcal{R}

We let \mathcal{R} denote the discrete semigroup $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ where $(m, n)^{-1} = (n, m)$ and $(m, n)(n, p) = (m, p)$.

$G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ is an amenable, second countable, étale, and locally compact Hausdorff groupoid.

It follows from a result by C–Ruiz–Sims that $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic if and only if G_A and G_B are groupoid equivalent, if and only if G_A and G_B are Kakutani equivalent.

Using this, C–Eilers–Ortega–Restorff showed that if $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic, then $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow-equivalent.

Conversely, by using the result of Parry and Sullivan, it is not difficult to show that if $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow-equivalent, then $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic.

The inverse semigroup \tilde{S}_A

Let $\tilde{\sigma}_A : X_A \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X_A \times \mathbb{N}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_A(x, n) = \begin{cases} (x, n-1) & \text{if } n \neq 0, \\ (\sigma_A(x), 0) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{\sigma}_A$ is a local homeomorphism.

The inverse semigroup \tilde{S}_A consists of homeomorphisms $\eta : \text{dom}(\eta) \rightarrow \text{ran}(\eta)$ where $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ran}(\eta) = V \times \mathbb{Z}$ for compact open subsets U, V of $X_A \times \mathbb{N}$, and there are continuous functions $k : U \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $l : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\eta(x, m) = (y, n)$, then $\tilde{\sigma}_A^{k(x)}(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_A^{l(y)}(y)$ and $m + l(y) = n + k(x)$.

We have that the inverse semigroups \tilde{S}_A and \tilde{S}_B are isomorphic if and only if $(X_A \times \mathbb{N}, \tilde{S}_A)$ and $(X_B \times \mathbb{N}, \tilde{S}_B)$ are continuously orbit equivalent, if and only if the groupoids $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic.

Stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras

The stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to $C^*(G_A \times \mathcal{R})$ by an isomorphism that maps $\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} is the canonical maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathcal{K} , onto $C_0(G_A \times \mathcal{R})^{(0)}$. It follows that if $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic, then the stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

Stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras

Conversely, if the stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism, then it follows from the result of Brownlowe–C–Whittaker or the result of C–Ruiz–Sims–Tomforde that $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic.

Cuntz–Krieger (for irreducible matrices satisfying condition (I)) and Cuntz (for matrices for which the matrices and their transpose satisfy condition (I)) showed that if $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent, then the stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism. Matsumoto–Matui showed, using results of Boyle–Handleman, Franks, and Huang, that for irreducible matrices diagonal-preserving isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ implies that $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are flow equivalent,

The algebra $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$

The algebra $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ is isomorphic to $R(G_A \times \mathcal{R})$ by an isomorphism that maps $RG_A^{(0)} \otimes D_\infty(R)$ onto $R(G_A \times \mathcal{R})^{(0)}$. It follows that if $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic, then the algebras $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ and $RG_B \otimes M_\infty(R)$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

Conversely, if the algebras $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ and $RG_B \otimes M_\infty(R)$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism, then it follows from the result of Steinberg that $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ are isomorphic.

Theorem 5

Let A and B be finite square $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with no zero rows and no zero columns, and let R be an indecomposable commutative ring with unit. The following are equivalent.

- 1 The two-sided shift spaces $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are conjugate.
- 2 There is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ such that $\tilde{c}_A = \tilde{c}_B \circ \phi$.
- 3 There is an isomorphism $\psi : \tilde{S}_A^e \rightarrow \tilde{S}_B^e$ such that $\tilde{e}_A = \tilde{e}_B \circ \psi$.
- 4 The stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism that intertwines the actions $\lambda_t^A \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\lambda_t^B \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$.
- 5 The algebras $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ and $RG_B \otimes M_\infty(R)$ are isomorphic by a graded diagonal-preserving isomorphism.

Conjugacy of the two-sided shift spaces $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ and graded isomorphism of $G_A \times \mathcal{R}$ and $G_B \times \mathcal{R}$

Let $\tilde{c}_A : G_A \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the cocycle defined by

$$\tilde{c}_A(\eta, (m, n)) = c_A(\eta) \text{ for } \eta \in G_A.$$

James Rout and I showed that the two-sided shift spaces $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are conjugate if and only if there is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ such that $\tilde{c}_A = \tilde{c}_B \circ \phi$.

The inverse semigroup \tilde{S}_A^e and the homomorphism $\tilde{e}_A : \tilde{S}_A^e \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

The inverse semigroup \tilde{S}_A^e is the subsemigroup of S_A consisting of homeomorphisms $\eta : \text{dom}(\eta) \rightarrow \text{ran}(\eta)$ where $\text{dom}(\eta) = U \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{ran}(\eta) = V \times \mathbb{Z}$ for compact open subsets U, V of $X_A \times \mathbb{N}$, and there are $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $\eta(x, m) = (y, n)$, then $\tilde{\sigma}_A^k(x) = \tilde{\sigma}_A^l(y)$ and $m + l = n + k$.

There is a homomorphism $\tilde{e}_A : \tilde{S}_A^e \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tilde{e}_A(\eta) = l - k$. Using techniques similar to the once James Rout and I used, one can show that there is an isomorphism $\psi : \tilde{S}_A^e \rightarrow \tilde{S}_B^e$ such that $\tilde{e}_A = \tilde{e}_B \circ \psi$ if and only if $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$ and $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$ are conjugate.

Graded isomorphisms of the algebras

If there is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ such that $\tilde{c}_A = \tilde{c}_B \circ \phi$, then the stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism that intertwines the actions $\lambda_t^A \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\lambda_t^B \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$, and the algebras $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ and $RG_B \otimes M_\infty(R)$ are isomorphic by a graded diagonal-preserving isomorphism. Conversely, James Rout and I showed that if the stabilised Cuntz–Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are isomorphic by a diagonal-preserving isomorphism that intertwines the actions $\lambda_t^A \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\lambda_t^B \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$, then the isomorphism $\phi : G_A \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ we get from the Brownlowe–C–Whittaker results, satisfies $\tilde{c}_A = \tilde{c}_B \circ \phi$ (this also follows from the result of C–Ruiz–Sims–Tomforde).

Graded isomorphisms of the algebras

Similarly, it follows from Steinberg’s result that if the algebras $RG_A \otimes M_\infty(R)$ and $RG_B \otimes M_\infty(R)$ are isomorphic by a graded diagonal-preserving isomorphism, then there is an isomorphism $\phi : G_A \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G_B \times \mathcal{R}$ such that $\tilde{c}_A = \tilde{c}_B \circ \phi$.