

UNIVERSITETET I OSLO

Matematisk Institutt

EXAM IN: **STK 4011/9011 – Statistical Inference Theory**
Part II of two parts

WITH: **Nils Lid Hjort**

AUXILIA: **Calculator, plus one single sheet of paper**
with the candidate's own personal notes

TIME FOR EXAM: **Part I: The Project, 2–18/xii/2014;**
Part II: 8/xii s.y., 9:00–13:00, written exam

This exam set contains four exercises and comprises three pages.

Exercise 1

We shall work with the so-called geometric distribution, defined by

$$f(x, \theta) = (1 - \theta)^{x-1} \theta \quad \text{for } x = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

with θ an unknown parameter in $(0, 1)$. This is the distribution of how many independent experiments one needs to carry out until a certain event occurs, with θ denoting the probability of this event. Its mean and variance are $1/\theta$ and $(1 - \theta)/\theta^2$ (which you do not need to prove here). Assume in what follows that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent observations stemming from this distribution.

- Letting $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ the the sample average, use the central limit theorem to identify the limit distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - 1/\theta)$.
- Then use the delta method to find the limit distribution of $\sqrt{n}(1/\bar{X}_n - \theta)$.
- If $\tilde{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ , show via results from the curriculum that

$$\text{Var } \tilde{\theta} \geq \frac{\theta^2(1 - \theta)}{n}.$$

Compare this with your result from (b), and comment.

- Now assume that uncertainty about the unknown parameter θ is quantified in terms of a prior density $\pi(\theta)$, and that this prior is the uniform on $(0, 1)$. Find the posterior distribution, $\pi(\theta | \text{data})$. Also show that the posterior mean becomes

$$\theta^* = \frac{n + 1}{n\bar{X}_n + 2}.$$

- Going back to the ordinary non-Bayesian framework, with observations X_1, X_2, \dots seen as independent from the geometric distribution above with some underlying unknown but fixed θ , show that $\sqrt{n}(\theta^* - \theta)$ has the same limit distribution as that found under (b).

Exercise 2

Assume that independent observations X_1, \dots, X_n come from the exponential distribution with parameter θ , i.e. with density and cumulative function

$$f(x, \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta x} \quad \text{and} \quad F(x, \theta) = 1 - e^{-\theta x} \quad \text{for } x > 0,$$

where θ is an unknown positive parameter. The mean and variance of X_i is $1/\theta$ and $1/\theta^2$. In the following you may also use without having to prove it here the fact that a sum $T = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ of n such variables has a Gamma distribution with parameters (n, θ) , with density

$$g_n(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \theta^n t^{n-1} e^{-\theta t} \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

(As usual $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function, and for integer values, $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$.)

- (a) Show that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient, and explain what this property means.
(b) Show that the maximum likelihood estimator for θ is

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{T} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}},$$

with $\bar{X} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ as usual denoting the sample mean.

- (c) Show that

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{T}{n}$$

is the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator of the parameter $\lambda = 1/\theta$.

- (d) What is the maximum likelihood estimator of $F(x, \theta)$, for a given x ?
(e) Give an expression for the joint density $g(x_1, s)$ of the two variables (X_1, S) , where $S = X_2 + \dots + X_n$. Use this to work out the joint density $h(v, t)$ for the two variables (V, T) , where T is as above and $V = X_1/T$. Show in particular from this that

$$P(V \leq v) = 1 - (1 - v)^{n-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq v \leq 1.$$

- (f) Show that the simple variable

$$\tilde{F}(x) = I\{X_1 \leq x\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_1 \leq x, \\ 0 & \text{if } X_1 > x \end{cases}$$

is an unbiased estimator for $F(x, \theta)$. Then find a formula for the uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator of $F(x, \theta)$.

- (g) Show that

$$E\left\{\frac{1}{2}(X_1 - X_2)^2 \mid \bar{X}\right\} = \frac{n}{n+1} \bar{X}^2.$$

Exercise 3

We continue with the situation given in the above exercise, with independent observations X_1, \dots, X_n from the exponential model.

- (a) One can easily show that the variables $Z_i = 2\theta X_i$ have the χ^2_2 distribution (and you do not need to prove this here). Show from this that

$$\hat{\theta} \text{ has the distribution of } \theta \frac{2n}{\chi^2_{2n}}.$$

- (b) Construct a 99% confidence distribution for θ based on the above.
- (c) Set up the likelihood ratio test for testing $H_0: \theta = 1$ versus the alternative that $\theta \neq 1$, with significance level ('type I error') equal to 0.01. Work with the algebra to arrive at a clear testing recipe.

Exercise 4

As explained in the course, we say that a sequence of random variables A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots converges in probability to a constant a , and write $A_n \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} a$ to indicate this, if $P(|A_n - a| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ for each positive ε . If the A_n in question is seen as an estimator of the parameter a , then we say that A_n is consistent for a .

- (a) Show that if $A_n \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} a$ and $B_n \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} b$, then indeed $A_n + B_n \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} a + b$.
- (b) Suppose again that $A_n \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} a$. Show that if h is a continuous function, defined in at least a neighbourhood around a , then $h(A_n) \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} h(a)$.
- (c) Assume X_1, X_2, \dots is a sequence of independent random variables with the same distribution, with finite mean ξ and variance σ^2 . Show, e.g. from the Chebyshev inequality (неравенство Чебышёва), that the sample average $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is consistent for ξ . (One may actually prove this also without the finite variance assumption, but then the proof becomes harder. The natural sufficient condition is simply that $E|X_i|$ is finite.)
- (d) Use the above to demonstrate that

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \rightarrow_{\text{pr}} \sigma^2.$$

Finally show that $\hat{\sigma}_n$ is a consistent estimator of σ .