

On the time a diffusion process spends along a line

Nils Lid Hjort

University of Oslo, Norway

Rafail Z. Khasminskii

I.P.P.I., Moscow, Russian Federation

Received 6 December 1991

Revised manuscript received 28 October 1992

For an arbitrary diffusion process X with time-homogeneous drift and variance parameters $\mu(x)$ and $\sigma^2(x)$, let V_ε be $1/\varepsilon$ times the total time $X(t)$ spends in the strip $[a + bt - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, a + bt + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon]$. The limit V as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is the full halfline version of the local time of $X(t) - a - bt$ at zero, and can be thought of as the time X spends along the straight line $x = a + bt$. We prove that V is either infinite with probability 1 or distributed as a mixture of an exponential and a unit point mass at zero, and we give formulae for the parameters of this distribution in terms of $\mu(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot)$, a , b , and the starting point $X(0)$. The special case of a Brownian motion is studied in more detail, leading in particular to a full process $V(b)$ with continuous sample paths and exponentially distributed marginals. This construction leads to new families of bivariate and multivariate exponential distributions. Truncated versions of such ‘total relative time’ variables are also studied. A relation is pointed out to a second order asymptotics problem in statistical estimation theory, recently investigated in Hjort and Fenstad (1992a, 1992b).

Brownian motion * diffusion process * exponential process * local time * multivariate exponential distribution
* second order asymptotics for estimators

1. Introduction and summary

Consider a time-homogeneous diffusion process X with $dX(t) = \mu(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dW(t)$, using $W(\cdot)$ to denote a standard Brownian motion. In other words, X is a Markov process with continuous paths and with the property that $X(t+h) - X(t)$, for given $X(t) = x$, has mean value $\mu(x)h + o(h)$ and variance $\sigma^2(x)h + o(h)$. Consider

$$V_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty I\{|X(t) - a - bt| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt, \quad (1.1)$$

the total amount of time spent by the process in the narrow strip $[a + bt - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, a + bt + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon]$, divided by ε . We show in Section 2 that this variable has a well-defined limit V , and find its distribution, in terms of a , b , $\mu(\cdot)$, $\sigma(\cdot)$, and the starting point $X(0) = x$. Under certain conditions the variable is infinite almost surely, and in the opposite case the variable is distributed as a mixture of an exponential and a unit

Correspondence to: Dr. N.L. Hjort, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Oslo, P.B. 1053 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway.

point mass at zero. Explicit formulae for the parameters of this distribution are also found. The variable is a pure exponential in the case $X(0) = a$. The simplicity of the result is remarkable, in view of the large class of diffusion processes; in particular X can have both Gaussian and non-Gaussian sample paths.

The V variable can be thought of as the total relative time the $X(\cdot)$ process spends along the line $x = a + bt$, and is related to what is sometimes called the local time at zero of the $X(t) - a - bt$ process. Usually such local times are studied and used for a limited time interval $[0, \tau]$ only, however.

A special case of the construction above is that of a Brownian motion and $a = 0$, giving

$$V_\varepsilon(b) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{measure}\{t \geq 0: W(t) \in [bt - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, bt + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon]\}. \quad (1.2)$$

That the limit $V(b)$, the time Brownian motion spends along $x = bt$, is simply exponential with parameter $|b|$, follows from the general result of Section 2, but is proved in a more direct fashion in Section 3, using moment convergence. This second approach lends itself more easily to the simultaneous study of several relative times. In Section 4 we prove full process convergence of $\{V_\varepsilon(b): b \neq 0\}$ towards a $\{V(b): b \neq 0\}$ with continuous sample paths and with exponentially distributed marginals. Its covariance and correlation structure is also found. In particular this construction leads to new families of bivariate and multivariate exponential distributions.

In Section 5 a more general variable $V(c, b)$ is studied, defined as the total relative time during $[c, \infty)$ that $W(t)$ spends along bt . The distribution of $V(c, b)$ is again a mixture of an exponential and a unit point mass at zero. A simple consequence of this result is a rederivation of a well known formula for the distribution of the maximum of Brownian motion over an interval. Finally some supplementing results and remarks are given in Section 6. In particular some consequences for empirical partial sum processes are briefly discussed.

A certain second order asymptotics problem in statistical estimation theory led by serendipity to the present study on total relative time variables for Brownian motion. Suppose $\{\theta_n: n \geq 1\}$ is an estimator sequence for a parameter θ , where θ_n is based on the first n data points in an i.i.d. sequence, and consider Q_δ , the number of times, among $n \geq c/\delta^2$, where $|\theta_n - \theta| \geq \delta$. Almost sure convergence (or strong consistency) of θ_n is equivalent to saying that Q_δ is almost surely finite for every δ , and it is natural to inquire about its size. A particular result of Hjort and Fenstad (1992a, Section 7) is that under natural conditions, which include the existence of a normal $(0, \sigma^2)$ limit for $\sqrt{n}(\theta_n - \theta)$,

$$\delta^2 Q_\delta \rightarrow_d Q = Q(c, 1/\sigma) = \int_c^\infty I\{|W(t)| \geq t/\sigma\} dt \quad (1.3)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. If $\{\theta_{n,1}\}$ and $\{\theta_{n,2}\}$ are first order equivalent estimator sequences, with the same $N(0, \sigma^2)$ limit for $\sqrt{n}(\theta_{n,j} - \theta)$, and $Q_{\delta,j}$ is the number of δ -misses for sequence j , then $Q_{\delta,1}/Q_{\delta,2} \rightarrow 1$ and $\delta^2(Q_{\delta,1} - Q_{\delta,2}) \rightarrow 0$ in probability. One way of distinguishing

between the two estimation methods is by studying second order aspects of $Q_{\delta,1} - Q_{\delta,2}$. It turns out that δ times this difference in typical cases has a limit distribution which is that of a constant times $V(c, 1/\sigma) - V(c, -1/\sigma)$, or times the simpler $V(1/\sigma) - V(-1/\sigma)$ if $c = c(\delta)$ is allowed to decrease to zero in the definition of $Q_{\delta,j}$. Note the connection from $Q(c, 1/\sigma)$ of (1.3) to $V(c, \pm 1/\sigma)$. Some further details are in Section 6.3 in the present paper, while further background and discussion can be found in Hjort and Fenstad (1992a, 1992b).

2. The time X spends along a straight line

In Section 2.1 we solve the problem for the time spent along a line parallel to the time axis. This rather immediately leads to the more general solution, which is presented in Section 2.2.

2.1. The time X spends along a horizontal line

Let $X(t)$ be as in the introductory paragraph, with continuous and positive diffusion function $\sigma(x)$ and continuous drift function $\mu(x)$. For a temporarily fixed a , define

$$s(y) = \exp \left\{ - \int_a^y \frac{2\mu(x)}{\sigma^2(x)} dx \right\},$$

also for negative y . The function $S(z) = \int_a^z s(y) dy$, or any linear translation thereof, is often called the scale function of the diffusion process. Two important quantities are

$$k_+(a) = \int_a^\infty s(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad k_-(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a s(y) dy. \quad (2.1)$$

It is known that if $k_+(a)$ is finite, then there is a positive probability for the process to drift off towards $+\infty$, and vice versa; and similarly the finiteness of $k_-(a)$ corresponds exactly to there being a positive probability for drifting off towards $-\infty$. See for example Karlin and Taylor (1981, Chapter 15.6). If in particular both integrals are infinite then the process is recurrent and visits the line $x = a$ an infinite number of times.

The current object of interest is

$$V_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty I\{|X(t) - a| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $V_\varepsilon(\tau)$ be defined similarly, but for the interval $[0, \tau]$ only. This is the so-called local time at zero process, Paul Lévy's 'mesure de voisinage', for $X(t) - a$; see for example Karlin and Taylor (1981, Chapter 15.12) and Itô and McKean (1979, Chapter 2 and 6). It is a 'remarkable and recondite fact', to quote Karlin and Taylor, that the limit $V(\tau)$ of $V_\varepsilon(\tau)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ exists for almost every sample path (that is, $V_\varepsilon(\tau, \omega)$ converges to a well-defined $V(\tau, \omega)$ for each ω in a subset of probability

1 of the underlying probability space). It follows from this local time theory that $V_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon(\infty)$ converges to a well-defined $V = V(\infty)$ too, with probability 1. We think of V as the total relative time X spends along the line $x = a$.

In the following we are able to find the exact distribution of V . The arguments we shall use actually show convergence in distribution of V_ε to V directly, that is, we do not need or use the somewhat sophisticated local time theory or the almost sure pathwise existence of V to prove that V_ε has the indicated limit distribution as ε goes to zero.

If α is positive, write $V \sim \exp(\alpha)$ for the exponential distribution with density $g(v) = \alpha e^{-\alpha v}$ for $v \geq 0$. It has mean $1/\alpha$ and Laplace transform $E \exp(-\lambda V) = \alpha/(\alpha + \lambda)$.

Theorem 1. *Assume that the X process starts at $X(0) = a$. If $k_+(a)$ and $k_-(a)$ are both infinite, then $V = \infty$ with probability one. Otherwise the limit V of V_ε is exponentially distributed with parameter $\alpha(a) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(a)\{1/k_+(a) + 1/k_-(a)\}$.*

Proof. That V_ε goes almost surely to infinity when both integrals are infinite follows from the theory of Karlin and Taylor (1981, Chapter 15.6). This is connected to the recurrency phenomenon mentioned after (2.1) above.

Suppose next that both $k_+(a)$ and $k_-(a)$ are finite. For a fixed positive λ , study the function

$$u_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) = E_x \exp(-\lambda V_\varepsilon) = E_x \exp \left\{ -\lambda \int_0^\infty f_\varepsilon(X(t)) dt \right\},$$

where the subscript x here and below means that the expectation is conditional on starting point $X(0) = x$, and where $f_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} I\{|x - a| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\}$. General results for diffusion processes imply that the $u_{\lambda, \varepsilon}$ function has two piecewise continuous derivatives and satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)u''_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) + \mu(x)u'_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) - \lambda f_\varepsilon(x)u_{\lambda, \varepsilon}(x) = 0,$$

see for example the theory developed by Karlin and Taylor (1981, Chapter 15.3). Integrating from $a - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ to $a + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ shows that a solution $u_\lambda(x)$ to the limit problem must satisfy

$$u'_\lambda(a+) - u'_\lambda(a-) = 2\lambda u_\lambda(a)/\sigma^2(a). \quad (2.3)$$

Now let $w(x, a)$ be the probability that the process after start in $X(0) = x$ succeeds in reaching the level $x = a$ in a finite amount of time. If this happens then V starting from x is equal in distribution to a V starting from a , because of the strong Markov property and the postulated time-homogeneity. And if it does not happen then $V = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_\lambda(x) &= E_x e^{-\lambda V} = w(x, a)E_a e^{-\lambda V} + \{1 - w(x, a)\}E e^{-\lambda V} \\ &= w(x, a)u_\lambda(a) + 1 - w(x, a). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

This equation is also reached if one more carefully starts with V_ε -equations and then lets $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. But $w(x, a)$ can be found explicitly, since it satisfies $\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)w''(x, a) + \mu(x)w'(x, a) = 0$ with boundary conditions $w(-\infty, a) = 0$, $w(a, a) = 1$, $w(\infty, a) = 0$. Differentiation here is w.r.t. x and a is still fixed. The solution is

$$w(x, a) = \begin{cases} k_+(x)/k_+(a) & \text{if } x \geq a, \\ k_-(x)/k_-(a) & \text{if } x \leq a, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

in terms of the (2.1) functions. In particular, $w'(a+, a) = -1/k_+(a)$ and $w'(a-, a) = 1/k_-(a)$ in terms of the transience determining quantities (2.1). This can now be used in (2.3) to make (2.4) more explicit:

$$u'_\lambda(a+) = w'(a+, a)\{u_\lambda(a) - 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad u'_\lambda(a-) = w'(a-, a)\{u_\lambda(a) - 1\}$$

lead to $\{-1/k_+(a) - 1/k_-(a)\}\{u_\lambda(a) - 1\} = 2\lambda u_\lambda(a)/\sigma^2(a)$. And solving this produces in the end

$$u_\lambda(a) = \frac{1/k_+(a) + 1/k_-(a)}{1/k_+(a) + 1/k_-(a) + 2\lambda/\sigma^2(a)} = \frac{\alpha(a)}{\alpha(a) + \lambda},$$

with the $\alpha(a)$ parameter as given in the theorem.

Assume next that $k_+(a)$ is finite but $k_-(a)$ infinite. This case can be handled very much as the previous one. Now $+\infty$ is attracting but $-\infty$ is not, and the boundary conditions for $w(x, a)$ become $w(-\infty, a) = 1$, $w(a, a) = 1$, $w(\infty, a) = 0$, giving as solution

$$w(x, a) = \begin{cases} k_+(x)/k_+(a) & \text{if } x \geq a, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \leq a. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

(2.3) and (2.4) are still valid, and we find after similar arguments that V is exponential with parameter $\alpha(a) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(a)/k_+(a)$. The final case of $k_+(a)$ infinite and $k_-(a)$ finite is handled similarly. $\square$

The proof actually gives the distribution of V for an arbitrary starting point x , namely

$$V|\{X(0) = x\} \sim w(x, a) \text{Exp}(\alpha(a)) + \{1 - w(x, a)\}\delta_0, \quad (2.7)$$

in which δ_0 is a unit point mass at zero. The weight $w(x, a)$ here has a direct probabilistical interpretation, and is given in (2.5) for the case of two attracting boundaries and in (2.6) for the case of only $+\infty$ attracting, with a similar modification for the case of $k_+(a)$ infinite but $k_-(a)$ finite. In the case of (2.6) we see that $V \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha(a))$ for any starting point to the left of a .

2.2. The time X spends along a general line from a general starting point

The generalisation to a result about the (1.1) variable is now immediate. Just consider the new process $X^*(t) = X(t) - bt$, which is a diffusion with $\mu^*(x) = \mu(x) - b$ and

the same $\sigma(x)^2$. The previous result is valid for the time $X^*(t)$ spends along the horizontal line $x^* = a$. We need $s_{a,b}(y) = \exp[-\int_a^y 2\{\mu(x) - b\}/\sigma^2(x) dx]$ as well as

$$k_+(a, b) = \int_a^\infty s_{a,b}(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad k_-(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^a s_{a,b}(y) dy. \quad (2.8)$$

We find the following.

Theorem 2. *Let the process start at $X(0) = x$, and suppose one or both of the two integrals (2.8) are finite. Then V_ε of (1.1) converges in distribution to the mixture*

$$V | \{X(0) = x\} \sim w(x, a, b) \text{Exp}(\alpha(a, b)) + \{1 - w(x, a, b)\} \delta_0 \quad (2.9)$$

of an exponential and a unit point mass at zero. Here

$$\alpha(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(a)\{k_+(a, b)^{-1} + k_-(a, b)^{-1}\}$$

and

$$w(x, a, b) = \begin{cases} \int_x^\infty s_{a,b}(y) dy / k_+(a, b) & \text{if } x \geq a, \\ \int_{-\infty}^x s_{a,b}(y) dy / k_-(a, b) & \text{if } x \leq a, \end{cases}$$

if both denominators are finite. If one of them is infinite, replace the corresponding ratio with 1.

2.3. Example: Total time for Brownian motion

Let us apply the general theorem to the case of $X = W$, the standard Brownian motion process, which has $\mu(x) = 0$ and $\sigma(x) = 1$. We allow an arbitrary starting point $W(0) = x$. Take b positive and consider the total relative time V_ε of (1.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_\varepsilon | \{W(0) = x\} \\ \rightarrow V \sim \begin{cases} \text{Exp}(b) & \text{if } x \geq a, \\ e^{-2b(a-x)} \text{Exp}(b) + \{1 - e^{-2b(a-x)}\} \delta_0 & \text{if } x \leq a. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

There is a symmetric result for negative b , involving an exponential with parameter $|b|$. Notice in particular that $V \sim \text{Exp}(|b|)$ when the starting point is a . An when $b = 0$ then V is infinite with probability one; see Section 6.1 for a more informative result.

3. Moment convergence proof

In the following we stick to the Brownian motion, and for simplicity take it to start at $W(0) = 0$. For $b \neq 0$, let us consider

$$V_\varepsilon(b) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty I\{W(t) \in bt \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt$$

of (1.2) in more detail. That

$$V_\varepsilon(b) \rightarrow_d V(b) \sim \text{Exp}(|b|) \quad (3.1)$$

is already a consequence of the general theorem, and indeed a special case of (2.10) above. We now offer a different proof, by demonstrating appropriate convergence of all moments. This is sufficient since the exponential distribution is determined by its moment sequence. In addition to having some independent merit this proof lends itself more easily to the study of simultaneous convergence aspects; see Section 4.

For the first moment, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} EV_\varepsilon(b) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \Pr\{bt - \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon \leq W(t) \leq bt + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty f_t(bt) dt + O(\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $f_t(x) = \phi(x/\sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t}$ is the density function for $W(t)$. Accordingly $EV_\varepsilon(b)$ goes to $\int_0^\infty \phi(b\sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t} dt = 1/|b|$. Next consider the p th moment. One finds

$$\begin{aligned} EV_\varepsilon(b)^p &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \Pr\{W(t_1) \in bt_1 \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \dots, W(t_p) \in bt_p \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt_1 \cdots dt_p \\ &= p! \int \cdots \int_{t_1 < \cdots < t_p} f_{t_1, \dots, t_p}(bt_1, \dots, bt_p) dt_1 \cdots dt_p + O(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{t_1, \dots, t_p}(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ is the density function of $(W(t_1), \dots, W(t_p))$. By the Gaussian and Markovian properties of $W(\cdot)$ this density can in fact be written

$$\phi\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t_1}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1}} \phi\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}} \cdots \phi\left(\frac{x_p - x_{p-1}}{\sqrt{t_p - t_{p-1}}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_p - t_{p-1}}} \quad (3.3)$$

when $t_1 < \cdots < t_p$. To carry out the p -dimensional integration, insert $(bt_1, \dots, bt_p)$ for $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$, and transform to new variables $u_1 = t_1$, $u_i = t_i - t_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, p$. The result is then that

$$EV_\varepsilon(b)^p \rightarrow p! \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(b\sqrt{u_1})}{\sqrt{u_1}} \cdots \frac{\phi(b\sqrt{u_p})}{\sqrt{u_p}} du_1 \cdots du_p = p!(1/|b|)^p$$

for each p . But this is manifestly the moment sequence of $\text{Exp}(|b|)$, proving (3.1). $\square$

The case of $b = 0$ is different, since W spends an infinite amount of time along the time axis. An interesting $|N(0, 1)|$ limit result for the relative time in $\pm\varepsilon$ during $[0, T]$ is in Section 6.1 below.

4. The exponential process

We have seen that $V_\varepsilon(b)$ goes to an exponentially distributed $V(b)$, and in the same manner we should find bivariate and multivariate exponential distributions by considering two or more b 's at the same time. This requires verification of simultaneous convergence in distribution of $(V_\varepsilon(b), V_\varepsilon(c))$ and similar quantities. This section indeed demonstrates process convergence of $V_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ to $V(\cdot)$, and studies some of the properties of the limiting process.

4.1. Process convergence

The first main result is as follows.

Theorem. *There is a well-defined stochastic process $V = \{V(b): b \neq 0\}$ with exponentially distributed marginals and with the property that $(V(b_1), \dots, V(b_n))$ is the limit in distribution of $(V_\varepsilon(b_1), \dots, V_\varepsilon(b_n))$ for each finite set of non-null indexes b_i . There exists a version of V with continuous paths, and $V_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ converges to $V(\cdot)$ in the uniform topology on the C -space $C[b_0, b_1]$ of continuous functions on $[b_0, b_1]$, for each interval not containing zero.*

Proof. Consider two rays bt and ct and their associated total relative time variables $(V_\varepsilon(b), V_\varepsilon(c))$. Using the Cramér-Wold theorem in conjunction with the moment convergence method we see that convergence of $EV_\varepsilon(b)^p V_\varepsilon(c)^q$ to the appropriate limit, for each p and q , is sufficient. But this can be proved by slight elaborations on the techniques of Section 3. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & V_\varepsilon(b)^p V_\varepsilon(c)^q \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p+q}} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty I\{W(s_1) \in bs_1 \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \dots, W(s_p) \in bs_p \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \\ & \quad W(t_1) \in ct_1 \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \dots, W(t_q) \in ct_q \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} ds_1 \cdots dt_q, \end{aligned}$$

and its expected value is seen to converge to

$$\begin{aligned} & EV(b)^p V(c)^q \\ &= \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty f_{s_1, \dots, s_p, t_1, \dots, t_q}(bs_1, \dots, bs_p, ct_1, \dots, ct_q) ds_1 \cdots dt_q \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

by Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence. Note that the integral is over all of $[0, \infty)^{p+q}$ and that a simple expression like (3.3) for the density of $(W(s_1), \dots, W(t_q))$ is only valid when the time-points are ordered, so the factual integration in (4.1) is difficult to carry through (but possible; see Section 4.3 below). What is important at the moment is however the mere existence of this and other similar limits of product moments for the $V_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ -process. We may conclude that all finite-dimensional distributions converge to well-defined limits. That these finite-dimensional distributions also constitute a Kolmogorov-consistent system is a by-product of the tightness condition verified below.

The $V_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ -process has continuous paths in $b \neq 0$ for each ε , since $W(\cdot)$ is continuous. In order to prove process convergence on $C[b_0, b_1]$ for a given interval we need to demonstrate tightness of the $\{V_\varepsilon(\cdot)\}$ family as ε goes to zero. Note that if $V_\varepsilon^*(b) = V_\varepsilon(-b)$, then the processes $V_\varepsilon^*(\cdot)$ and $V_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ have identical distributional characteristics, so it suffices to consider the positive part of the process. Following results in Shorack and Wellner (1986, p. 52) it is enough to verify that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E\{V_\varepsilon(b+h) - V_\varepsilon(b)\}^4 \leq Kh^2 \quad \text{for some } K, \quad (4.2)$$

for all $h \geq 0$ and for all b with b and $b+h$ in $[b_0, b_1]$, where $0 < b_0 < b_1$. By the arguments for finite-dimensional convergence used above the left hand side of (4.2) is equal to $m_b(h) = E\{V(b+h) - V(b)\}^4$. This is seen to be a smooth function of h with finite derivatives at zero. Ingenious and rather elaborate Taylor expansion arguments can in fact be furnished to prove that

$$EV(b+h)^4 = (24/b^4)\{1 - 4\delta + O(\delta^2)\},$$

$$EV(b)V(b+h)^3 = (24/b^4)\{1 - 6\delta + O(\delta^2)\},$$

$$EV(b)^2V(b+h)^2 = (24/b^4)\{1 - 6\delta + O(\delta^2)\},$$

$$EV(b)^3V(b+h) = (24/b^4)\{1 - 4\delta + O(\delta^2)\},$$

$$EV(b)^4 = 24/b^4,$$

where $\delta = h/b$, so that $m_b(h) = K_2(b)h^2 + K_3(b)h^3 + \dots$, for local constants $K_j(b)$ that are continuous functions of b (as long as $b \neq 0$). This is dominated by a common Kh^2 for all b and $b+h$ in the interval under consideration. This verifies (4.2), and incidentally at the same time verifies the so-called Kolmogorov condition for almost sure continuity of the sample paths, see Shorack and Wellner (1968, Chapter 2, Section 3).

Using the moment formula in Section 4.3 below one may in fact calculate the left hand side of (4.2) explicitly, and a fair amount of analysis leads to $m_b(h) = 24 \cdot 352h^2/b^6 + O(h^3)$. The proof above circumvented the need for information on this level of detail, however. $\square$

4.2. Dependence structure

In order to investigate this to some extent we calculate covariances and correlations. Let $0 < b < c$ and $-c < 0 < d$. Then

$$\text{Cov}\{V(b), V(c)\} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{2c-b} \quad (4.3a)$$

and

$$\text{Cov}\{V(-c), V(d)\} = \frac{1}{d} \frac{1}{c+2d} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{2c+d} - \frac{1}{cd}. \quad (4.3b)$$

To prove this, consider the case of two positive parameters. Then by previous arguments

$$\begin{aligned}
 EV_\varepsilon(b)V_\varepsilon(c) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Pr\{W(s) \in bs \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon, W(t) \in ct \pm \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} ds dt / \varepsilon^2 \\
 &\rightarrow \int \int_{s < t} [f_{s,t}(bs, ct) + f_{s,t}(cs, bt)] ds dt \\
 &= \int \int_{s < t} \left[\phi(b\sqrt{s})\phi\left(\frac{ct-bs}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right) + \phi(c\sqrt{s})\phi\left(\frac{bt-cs}{\sqrt{t-s}}\right) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where (3.3) is used again. Now transform first to $(s, u) = (s, t-s)$ and then to $(x, y) = (\sqrt{s}, \sqrt{u})$, to get

$$4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[\phi(bx)\phi\left(\frac{cy^2 + (c-b)x^2}{y}\right) + \phi(cx)\phi\left(\frac{by^2 - (c-b)x^2}{y}\right) \right] dx dy.$$

The rest of the calculation is carried out using the formula $\int_0^\infty \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(k^2 y^2 + l^2/y^2)\} dy = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\pi}(1/k) \exp(-kl)$. This formula can be proved by clever but elementary integrations, and is valid for positive k and l . One finds

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{4}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{c} \exp\{-\tfrac{1}{2}(b^2 + 4c(c-b))x^2\} + \frac{1}{b} \exp(-\tfrac{1}{2}c^2x^2) \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{c} \frac{1}{2c-b} + \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{c}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The first formula in (4.3) follows from this, and the other case is handled similarly. $\square$

It is convenient to give formulae (4.3) in another form, using $(b, c) = (b, b+h)$ in the first case and $(-c, d) = (-c, kc)$ in the second. Then

$$\text{Cov}\{V(b), V(b+h)\} = \frac{1}{b+h} \frac{1}{b+2h}$$

and

$$\text{Cov}\{V(-c), V(kc)\} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{-3}{(k+2)(2k+1)},$$

and the correlation coefficients become

$$\text{corr}\{V(b), V(b+h)\} = \frac{b}{b+2h} \tag{4.4a}$$

and

$$\text{corr}\{V(-c), V(kc)\} = -\frac{3k}{(k+2)(2k+1)}. \quad (4.4b)$$

For small h it is worth noting that

$$E\{V(b+h) - V(b)\} = \frac{1}{b+h} - \frac{1}{b} \doteq -\frac{1}{b^2}h,$$

$$E\{V(b+h) - V(b)\}^2 = \frac{2}{b^2} + \frac{2}{(b+h)^2} - \frac{4}{b(b+2h)} \doteq \frac{4}{b^3}h.$$

4.3. Bivariate and multivariate exponential distributions

We have constructed a full exponential process, and in particular $(V(b_1), \dots, V(b_n))$ is a random vector with dependent and exponential marginals. These bivariate and multivariate exponential classes of distributions appear to be new. See Block (1985), for example, for a review of the field of multivariate exponential distributions, and see Section 6.5 below for a couple of other processes with exponential marginals.

Formula (4.4) shows that if values $\mu_1 > 0$, $\mu_2 > 0$, $\rho \in (0, 1)$ are given, then a pair of dependent exponentials $(V(b_1), V(b_2))$ can be found with $EV(b_1) = \mu_1$, $EV(b_2) = \mu_2$, and correlation ρ . The class of bivariate exponential distributions is accordingly rich in the sense of achieving all positive correlations. The negative correlation in (4.4) starts out at zero for k small, decreases to $-\frac{1}{3}$ for $k=1$, and then climbs up towards zero again when k grows, so negative correlations between $-\frac{1}{3}$ and -1 cannot be attained. Note that the maximal negative correlation occurs between $V(b)$ and $V(-b)$.

In order to study the bivariate distribution for $(V(b), V(c))$ we calculate its double moment sequence (4.1) explicitly, for the case of $0 < b < c$. The technique is to split the integral into $n! = (p+q)!$ parts, corresponding to all different orderings of the $n = p+q$ time indexes, the point being that a formula like (3.3) for the density of $(W(t_1), \dots, W(t_n))$ can be exploited for each given ordering. These orderings can be grouped into $\binom{n}{p}$ types of paths, say $(e_1 t_1, \dots, e_n t_n)$ where $t_1 < \dots < t_n$ and e_j is equal to b in exactly p cases and equal to c in exactly q cases. There are $p!q!$ different paths for given locations for the p b 's and q c 's, so the full integral can be written $\sum p!q!g(\text{path})$, where the sum is over all $\binom{n}{p}$ classes of paths and $g(\text{path})$ is the contribution for a specific path of the appropriate type. It remains to calculate the g -terms of various types, i.e. to evaluate

$$\int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n} f_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(e_1 t_1, \dots, e_n t_n) dt_1 \cdots dt_n$$

for a path with e_j 's equal to b or c . Stameniforous integrations, similar to but more strenuous than those used to prove (4.3), show in the end that

$$g(\text{path}) = \left(\frac{1}{b_0}\right)^{i(0)} \left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{i(1)} \cdots \left(\frac{1}{b_n}\right)^{i(n)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where the path when read backwards, i.e. looking through $(e_n, \dots, e_1)$ in the notation above, has $i(0)$ b 's first, then $i(1)$ c 's, then $i(2)$ b 's, etc. Furthermore $b_0 = b$, $b_1 = c$, and $b_j = b + j(c - b)$. Note that $i(0) + i(2) + \dots = p$, $i(1) + i(3) + \dots = q$, and $i(0) + i(1) + \dots + i(n) = n$. And $EV(b)^p V(c)^q$ is equal to $p!q!$ times the sum of all such $g(\text{path})$ terms.

To illustrate this somewhat cryptic formula, try $EV(b)^2 V(c)^2$. There are $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ types of paths, corresponding to (b, b, c, c) , (b, c, b, c) , (b, c, c, b) , (c, b, b, c) , (c, b, c, b) , (c, c, b, b) , and each of these has weight $2!2! = 4$. Their $(i(0), i(1), \dots, i(4))$ representations are respectively $(0, 2, 2, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, $(1, 2, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 2, 1, 0)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1, 0)$, $(2, 2, 0, 0, 0)$. Accordingly

$$EV(b)^2 V(c)^2 = 4 \left\{ \frac{1}{b_1^2 b_2^2} + \frac{1}{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4} + \frac{1}{b_0 b_1^2 b_2} + \frac{1}{b_1 b_2^2 b_3} + \frac{1}{b_0 b_1 b_2 b_3} + \frac{1}{b_0^2 b_1^2} \right\},$$

where $b_0 = b$, $b_1 = c$, $\dots$, $b_4 = b + 4(c - b)$.

We have not been able to produce an explicit formula for the joint probability density of $(V(b), V(c))$, but at least an expression can be found for its joint moment generating function. It becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp\{sV(b) + tV(c)\} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p+q=n} \binom{n}{p} s^p t^q E\{V(b)^p V(c)^q\} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{p+q=n} \frac{n!}{p!q!} s^p t^q \sum_{\text{paths}} p!q! g(\text{path}) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 0, q \geq 0} s^p t^q \sum_{i(0), i(1), \dots, i(p+q)} \left(\frac{1}{b_0}\right)^{i(0)} \left(\frac{1}{b_1}\right)^{i(1)} \dots \left(\frac{1}{b_{p+q}}\right)^{i(p+q)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where again the inner sum is over all $(p+q)!/p!q!$ types of paths with p b 's and q c 's, and the multiplicities $i(0), i(1), \dots, i(p+q)$ have even-sum p and odd-sum q , as explained above.

One can similarly establish formulae for product moments of more than two $V(b)$'s, and investigate other aspects of the multivariate exponential distributions associated with the $V(\cdot)$ process. We remark that these distributions can be simulated, with some effort, through using $V_\varepsilon(b)$ with a small ε , and this is one way of computing bivariate and multivariate probabilities when needed. Another way would be via numerical inversion of the joint moment generating function.

5. Total relative time along a line after time c

As a generalisation of (1.2), consider the total relative time spent along the ray $w = bt$ during $t \geq c$, i.e.

$$V_\varepsilon(c, b) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_c^\infty I\{bt - \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon \leq W(t) \leq bt + \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt. \quad (5.1)$$

The story told in the final paragraph of Section 1 is one motivation for studying these variables. The main result about them is that

$$V_\varepsilon(c, b) \rightarrow_d V(c, b) \sim k(|b|\sqrt{c}) \text{Exp}(|b|) + (1 - k(|b|\sqrt{c}))\delta_0, \quad (5.2)$$

where again δ_0 is degenerate at zero and $k(u) = 2(1 - \Phi(u))$. Note that $k(|b|\sqrt{c}) = 1$ when $c = 0$, so that (5.2) indeed contains our earlier result (3.1).

It is possible to prove this by establishing a differential equation for the Laplace transform of $V(c, b)$ with appropriate boundary conditions, and then solve, as in Section 2, but it is as convenient to prove moment convergence. Take $b > 0$ for simplicity. It takes one moment to show that

$$\begin{aligned} EV_\varepsilon(c, b) &\rightarrow \int_c^\infty f_t(bt) dt = \int_c^\infty \phi(b\sqrt{t})/\sqrt{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \int_{b\sqrt{c}}^\infty 2\phi(x) dx = k(b\sqrt{c})/b. \end{aligned}$$

And when $p \geq 2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} EV_\varepsilon(c, b)^p &\rightarrow p! \int \cdots \int_{c \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_p} f_{t_1, \dots, t_p}(bt_1, \dots, bt_p) dt_1 \cdots dt_p \\ &= p! \int_c^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(b\sqrt{u_1})}{\sqrt{u_1}} \cdots \frac{\phi(b\sqrt{u_p})}{\sqrt{u_p}} du_1 \cdots du_p \\ &= p! \frac{k(b\sqrt{c})}{b} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{p-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

The Laplace transform function of this limit distribution candidate becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(-\lambda V) &= 1 + \sum_{p=1}^\infty \frac{(-\lambda)^p}{p!} p! \frac{k(b\sqrt{c})}{b} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{p-1} \\ &= 1 + k(b\sqrt{c}) \frac{-\lambda/b}{1 + \lambda/b} = k(b\sqrt{c}) \frac{b}{b + \lambda} + 1 - k(b\sqrt{c}), \end{aligned}$$

which is recognised as the moment generating function of the mixture variable that with probability $k(b\sqrt{c})$ is an exponential with parameter b and with probability $1 - k(b\sqrt{c})$ is equal to zero. This proves (5.2).

Remark. Let us briefly discuss a specific consequence, namely that $\Pr\{V_\varepsilon(c, b) = 0\}$ in this situation converges to $\Pr\{V(c, b) = 0\}$, which is $1 - k(b\sqrt{c}) = 2\Phi(b\sqrt{c}) - 1$. But having $V_\varepsilon(c, b) = 0$ in the limit means that $W(t)$ stays away from bt during $[c, \infty)$, and it cannot stay above the curve all the time since $W(t)/t$ goes to zero. Hence $2\Phi(b\sqrt{c}) - 1$ is simply the probability that $W(t) < bt$ during all of $[c, \infty)$ or

$\Pr\{\max_{t \geq c} W(t)/t < b\}$. Using finally the transformation $W^*(t) = tW(1/t)$ to another Brownian motion one sees that

$$\Pr\left\{\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1/c} W(t) \leq b\right\} = 2\Phi(b\sqrt{c}) - 1 = \Pr\{|W(1/c)| \leq b\}. \quad (5.4)$$

We have in other words rederived a classic distributional result for Brownian motion.

The distribution of $V(c, -1) - V(c, 1)$ comes up in the statistical estimation problem discussed in Section 1; see also Section 6.3 below and Hjort and Fenstad (1992b, Section 6). When $c = 0$ this is a difference between two unit exponentials with intercorrelation $-\frac{1}{3}$. The case $c > 0$ is more complicated. Then

$$(V(c, -1), V(c, 1)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } \pi_{00}, \\ (U_{-1}, 0) & \text{with probability } \pi_{10}, \\ (0, U_1) & \text{with probability } \pi_{01}, \\ (U_{-1}, U_1) & \text{with probability } \pi_{11}, \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

in which U_{-1} and U_1 are unit exponentials with a certain dependence structure. Furthermore π_{00} is the probability that $W(t)$ stays between $-t$ and t during $[c, \infty)$, π_{10} is the probability that $W(t)$ comes below $-t$ but is never above t , π_{01} is the probability that $W(t)$ comes above t but is never below $-t$, and π_{11} is the probability that $W(t)$ experiences both $W(t) < -t$ and $W(t) > t$ during $[c, \infty)$. When $c = 0$ then π_{11} is 1 and the others are zero. In the positive case these probabilities can be found in terms of $H(u)$, the probability that $\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |W(s)| \leq u$, by the transformation arguments used to reach (5.4). One finds

$$\pi_{00} = H(\sqrt{c}), \quad \pi_{01} = \pi_{10} = 2\Phi(\sqrt{c}) - 1 - \pi_{00}, \quad \pi_{11} = 1 - \pi_{00} - \pi_{01} - \pi_{10},$$

in which $H(u) = \Pr\{\max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |W(s)| \leq u\}$. A classic alternating series expression for $H(u)$ can be found in Shorack and Wellner (1986, Chapter 2, Section 2), for example, and a new way of deriving this formula is by calculating all product moments $EV(-c)^p V(c)^q$ and then study the analogue of (4.6). This would be analogous to the way in which (5.4) was proved above, but the present case is much more laborious. Here we merely note that

$$EV(c, -1)V(c, 1) = \pi_{11}EU_{-1}U_1 = \frac{2}{3}k(3\sqrt{c}),$$

from which the correlation between U_{-1} and U_1 also can be read off.

6. Supplementing results

6.1. Total relative time along the time axis

The variable $V_\varepsilon(b)$ of (1.2) is infinite when $b = 0$. But consider

$$V_{\varepsilon,T} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \{-\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \leq W(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt, \quad (6.1)$$

the relative time along the time axis during $[0, T]$. The moment sequence converges as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, as follows, using (3.3) once more:

$$\begin{aligned} E(V_{\varepsilon, T})^p &= \frac{p!}{\varepsilon^p T^{p/2}} \int \cdots \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_p < T} \left[\phi(0)^p \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t_1}} \cdots \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t_p - t_{p-1}}} + O(\varepsilon^{p+1}) \right] dt_1 \cdots dt_p \\ &\rightarrow p! \phi(0)^p \int \cdots \int_{0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_p < 1} x_1^{-1/2} \cdots (x_p - x_{p-1})^{-1/2} (1 - x_p)^0 dx_1 \cdots dx_p \\ &= \frac{p!}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})^p \Gamma(1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}p + 1)} = \frac{1}{2^{p/2}} \frac{p!}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}p + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The limit distribution candidate V_0 has consequently $EV_0^{2p} = (\frac{1}{2})^p (2p)!/p!$, which means that V_0^2 gets moment generating function $(1 - 2t)^{-1/2}$. So V_0^2 is a χ_1^2 (since the distribution of a chi-squared is determined by its moments), i.e. V_0 is a $|N(0, 1)|$.

It was not necessary here to send T to infinity, since the scaling property for W ($W^*(t) = W(ct)/\sqrt{c}$ gives a new Brownian motion) implies that the limit distribution of $V_{\varepsilon, T}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is independent of T .

One generalisation of this is in the following direction. Instead of (6.1), look at

$$V_{\varepsilon, T} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T h(W(t)/\varepsilon) dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T/\varepsilon^2}} \int_0^{T/\varepsilon^2} h(W^*(t)) dt,$$

where $h(x)$ is any function with bounded support, and where W^* in the second expression is another Brownian motion obtained from the first one by transformation. The case considered earlier is $h(x) = I\{|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. It can be shown that $V_{\varepsilon, T} \rightarrow a|N(0, 1)|$ in distribution as $T/\varepsilon^2 \rightarrow \infty$, where a is a constant depending on h . This is not easy to prove via the moment convergence technique, but can be established using methods from Khasminskii (1980).

6.2. Implications for partial sum processes

Let us first point out that an alternative construction of our total relative time variables is to use $I\{bt \leq W(t) \leq bt + \varepsilon\}$ instead of $I\{W(t) \in bt \pm \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon\}$ in (1.2) and (5.1). Results of previous sections hold equally for this alternative definition of $V_\varepsilon(b)$ and $V_\varepsilon(c, b)$, and this is a bit more convenient in Section 6.3 below. Now suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots$ are i.i.d. with mean ξ and variance σ^2 , and consider the normalised partial sum process $W_m(t) = m^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{[mt]} (X_i - \xi)/\sigma$. In particular $W_m(n/m) = S_n/\sqrt{m}$, writing $Y_i = (X_i - \xi)/\sigma$ and S_n for their partial sums, and $W_m(\cdot)$ converges to Brownian motion by Donsker's theorem. Motivated by (1.2)

and (5.1) we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{m,\varepsilon}(c, b) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n/m \geq c} I \left\{ b \frac{n}{m} \leq \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{m}} \leq b \frac{n}{m} + \varepsilon \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n/m \geq c} I \left\{ b \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} \leq T_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \xi)/\sigma \leq b \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} + \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\langle cm \rangle / m}^{\infty} I \left\{ b \frac{\lfloor mt \rfloor}{m} \leq W_m(t) \leq b \frac{\lfloor mt \rfloor}{m} + \varepsilon \right\} dt, \quad (6.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle cm \rangle$ denotes the smallest integer exceeding or equal to cm . It is clear that this variable is close to $V_\varepsilon(c, b)$ for large m , and should accordingly converge in distribution to $V(c, b)$ of (4.2) when $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition. Assume that the X_i 's have a finite third absolute moment. If $c > 0$ is fixed, then $V_{m,\varepsilon(m)}(c, b) \rightarrow_d V(c, b)$ if only $\varepsilon(m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. And

$$V_{m,\varepsilon(m)}(c(m), b) \rightarrow_d V(b) \sim \text{Exp}(|b|) \quad (6.3)$$

provided $\varepsilon(m) \rightarrow 0$, $c(m) \rightarrow 0$, $mc(m) \rightarrow \infty$, and $\varepsilon(m)/c(m)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. This can be proved in various ways and under various conditions. One feasible possibility is to demonstrate moment convergence of $E\{V_{m,\varepsilon(m)}(c, b)\}^p$ towards the right hand side of (5.3), for each p . One basically needs the smallest n in the sum to grow towards infinity, so that the central limit theorem and Edgeworth–Cramér expansions can begin to work, and the largest of all $\varepsilon(m)\sqrt{m/n}$ terms to go to zero, so that Taylor expansions can begin to work; see the middle term in (6.2). When c is fixed then the sum is over all $n \geq mc$, and it suffices to have $\varepsilon(m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. To reach $V(b) = V(0, b)$ in the limit we need the stated behaviour for $\varepsilon(m)$ and $c(m)$. We have used the third moment assumption to bound the error $r(t)$ in the Edgeworth expression $G_n(t) = \Phi(t) + r(t)$ for the distribution of $T_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \xi)/\sigma$; one has $|r_n(t)| \leq cn^{-1/2}/(1+|t|)^3$, and this is helpful when it comes to verifying conditions when employing Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence. $\square$

We may conclude that the total relative time along bn/m for the normalised partial sum process has a limit distribution, which is either exponential or of the mixture type (4.2). The middle expression also invites $V_{m,\varepsilon}$ to be thought of as the total relative time for the normalised T_n process along the square root boundary $b\sqrt{n/m}$. The result is also valid for $T_n = \sqrt{n}(\theta_n - \theta)/\sigma$ in a more general estimation theory setup; see Hjort and Fensatd (1992a, 1992b).

The result of Section 6.1 has also implications for partial sum processes. One can prove that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 I\{|W_m(t)| \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon\} dt \rightarrow_d |N(0, 1)|$$

when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$, under suitable conditions. This implies for example that $m^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^m I\{|S_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ has the absolute normal limit, as does $m^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^m I\{S_i = 0\}$ for the random walk process.

6.3. Second order asymptotics for the number of δ -errors

To show how the total relative time variables for Brownian motion are related to the estimation theory problems described in Section 1, consider the structurally simple case of i.i.d. variables X_i with mean ξ and standard deviation σ , and where $(n/(n+k))\bar{X}_n$ is used to estimate ξ . Consider $Q_\delta(k)$, the number of times $|(n/(n+k))\bar{X}_n - \xi| \geq \delta$, counted among $n \geq c/\delta^2$. Then $\delta^2 Q_\delta(k)$ tends to $Q = Q(c, 1/\sigma)$ of (1.2), for each choice of k , and δ^2 times $Q_\delta(k) - Q_\delta(0)$ goes to zero. This follows from results in Hjort and Fenstad (1992a). But $\delta\{Q_\delta(k) - Q_\delta(0)\}$ can be written $A_\delta - B_\delta$, after some analysis, where

$$A_\delta = \sqrt{m} \int_{(mc)/m}^{\infty} I\left\{-\frac{[mt]}{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq W_m(t) \leq -\frac{[mt]}{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \frac{k\xi}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{m} \frac{k}{\sigma}\right\} dt,$$

$$B_\delta = \sqrt{m} \int_{(mc)/m}^{\infty} I\left\{\frac{[mt]}{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq W_m(t) \leq \frac{[mt]}{m} \frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \frac{k\xi}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{m} \frac{k}{\sigma}\right\} dt,$$

and where $m = 1/\delta^2$. These variables resemble those considered in (6.2) and (6.3). With $\varepsilon = m^{-1/2} k\xi/\sigma$ we have

$$A_\delta \doteq_d k\xi/\sigma V_{m,\varepsilon}(c, -1/\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad B_\delta \doteq_d k\xi/\sigma V_{m,\varepsilon}(c, 1/\sigma),$$

where ' $\doteq_d$ ' signifies that the difference goes to zero in probability. It follows from the result of Section 6.2 that

$$\delta\{Q_\delta(k) - Q_\delta(0)\} \rightarrow_d k\xi/\sigma\{V(c, -1/\sigma) - V(c, 1/\sigma)\} \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.4)$$

This is also true with $c = 0$ in the limit, i.e. with $k\xi/\sigma\{V(-1/\sigma) - V(1/\sigma)\}$ on the right hand side, provided $c = c(\delta) = \delta$ is used in the definition of $Q_\delta(k)$ and $Q_\delta(0)$. Note the relevance of (5.5) for the present problem.

Hjort and Fenstad (1992b) also work with the direct expected value of $Q_\delta(k) - Q_\delta(0)$ and similar variables. These converge to explicit functions of k (and other parameters, in more general situations), which can then be minimised to single out estimator sequences with the second order optimality property of having the smallest expected number of δ -errors. This is done in Hjort and Fenstad (1992b), in several situations. We remark that the skewness $\gamma = E(X_i - \xi)^3/\sigma^3$ is not involved in (6.4), but is prominently present in the limit of $E\{Q_\delta(k) - Q_\delta(0)\}$, and its minimisation.

6.4. Relative time along other curves

To generalise our framework, consider

$$V_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_c^\infty I\{b(t) \leq X(t) \leq b(t) + \varepsilon g(t)\} dt, \quad (6.5)$$

where $x = b(s)$ is some curve of interest and $g(t)$ a possible scaling factor. In many cases there is a distributional limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and perhaps the first couple of moments can be obtained. The limit distribution is simple only for cases that can be transformed back to (1.1) and (5.1), however. For an example, we note that the total relative time an Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process $X(t)$ spends along be' can be shown to be exponential, for example, with suitable $g(t)$ in (6.5).

6.5. Other exponential and gamma processes

(i) If $U(b) = |b|V(b)$, then $U(b)$ is unit exponential for each b . In particular its marginal mean and variance are constant, and $\text{Cov}\{U(b), U(b+h)\} = b/(b+2h)$.

(ii) By adding independent copies of $V(\cdot)$ (or $U(\cdot)$) we get processes with marginals that are gamma distributed. This leads in particular to bivariate and multivariate gamma distributions or chi-squared distributions (with even-numbered degrees of freedom only).

(iii) There are other processes that share with V and U the property of having exponentially distributed marginals. One example is $V^*(b) = \frac{1}{2}\{W_1(b)^2 + W_2(b)^2\}$, where W_1 and W_2 are independent Brownian motions. This is a Markov process, while our $V(b)$ process is not. The possible correlations of $(V^*(b_1), \dots, V^*(b_n))$ span a smaller space than those of $(V(b_1), \dots, V(b_n))$, indicating that the V^* process may be less adequate when it comes to building multivariate exponential models.

(iv) And yet another process with exponential marginals is provided by $V^{**}(b) = \max_{t \geq 0} \{W(t) - bt\}$, defined for positive b . This process is studied by Çinlar (1992). If $X(t) = W(t) - bt$, then Çinlar's paper is concerned with the maximum value of this process and where the maximum occurs, whereas the present paper has been concerned with the amount of time such a process spends along a line.

Acknowledgements

This paper was written at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute at Berkeley, where we spent some pleasant weeks as invited participants in the 1991 Statistics Programs. This was made possible through generous support from National Science Foundation grant 8505550.

References

- H.W. Block, Multivariate exponential distributions, in: S. Kotz and N.L. Johnson, Encyclopedia of Statistical Science (Wiley, New York, 1985).
- E. Çinlar, Sunset over Brownistan, Stochastic Process. Appl. 40 (1992) 45–53.
- N.L. Hjort and G. Fenstad, On the last time and the number of times an estimator is more than ε from its target value, Ann. Statist. 20 (1992a) 469–489.

- N.L. Hjort and G. Fenstad, Some second order asymptotics for the number of times an estimator is more than ε from its target value, *Statist. Res. Rept.*, Univ. of Oslo (Oslo, 1992b).
- K. Itô and H.P. McKean Jr., *Diffusion Processes and their Sample Paths* (Springer, Berlin, 1979, 2n ed.).
- S. Karlin and H.M. Taylor, *A Second Course in Stochastic Processes* (Academic Press, Toronto, 1981).
- R.Z. Khasminskii, *Stability of Stochastic Differential Equations* (Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Amsterdam, 1980).
- G.R. Shorack and J.A. Wellner, *Empirical Processes with Applications to Statistics* (Wiley, New York, 1986).