# Prediction of armed conflict: What can we say about uncertainty in such models?



Presentation to the **Example Freedom** 'Godt Hjort' seminar

#### Håvard Hegre<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Peace Research Institute Oslo (PRIO) <sup>2</sup>Department of Peace and Conflict Research, Uppsala University

5 December 2023

# Stability and Change



#### Stability and Change – Statistics and peace research

Is the world becoming more peaceful?

- are 'better angels' getting the upper hand?
   Cunen, Hjort & Nygård, 2020: 'Statistical sightings of better angels'
  - Fatalities in wars over the 1823–2005 is there a point where death counts change?
  - Point of maximal change in 1950 Korean war
  - Upper quartile of the battle-deaths distribution decreases from 63,545 before to 14,943 after

Challenge: Fatality counts in war have power-law distribution





#### Topics in Stability and Change



- Change points
- Power-law distributions
- Migration
- Forecasting armed conflict: VIEWS
  - Predicting with uncertainty
  - Given the near-power law distribution
  - AND zero-inflation: 99.5% zeros
- What characterizes a good prediction model?

## VIEWS: Violence and Impacts Early Warning System

At PRIO and Uppsala University

• with Céline, Gudmund, Jonathan Williams Forecasting problem:

- Fatalities in armed conflict
- At country and geographical level
- 36 months into the future
- Currently as point predictions
- Optimized through MSE on ln(Y + 1)
  - Log transformation beneficial
    - to avoid underpredictions
    - and reduce influence of extreme cases
  - Or is it?





#### Machine-learning models

#### Core algorithms: Decision-tree models

- Random forests (XGB implementation)
- Gradient boosting models (XGB/LGB/sklearn implementations)



#### Distribution of outcome challenge – Solutions:

- Predicting log(Y+1)
- Hurdle models (Fritz et al 2022)
  - Learn probability of non-zero observations  $\hat{p}_{nz} = p(Y > 0)$
  - 2 Learn number of fatalities if non-zero Ŷ<sub>nz</sub> = Y|Y > 0
    3 Combined prediction Ŷ = p̂<sub>nz</sub> × Ŷ<sub>nz</sub>
- Markov models (Randahl & Vegelius 2022)

#### Ensembles of constituent model predictions



Models combining algorithms and feature sets

- Final model: an ensemble
- Optimal weights found by a genetic algorithm
- Optimized on log MSE per country month

We are unsure what is the best optimization criterion

• What should be our 'Focused Information Criterion'? Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics



Model Selection and Model Averaging Gerda Claeskens and Nils Lid Hjort

#### How well do we predict?

MSEs at country level between .25 and .75



How many were killed per country if we predict the following 12 months into the future:

- 300–1000 fatalities:
  - all are above 100, and 90% are above 800
- 30–100 fatalities:
  - 90% are between 30 and 200
- 3–10 fatalities:
  - 50% are 1 or higher, median observation is 1, and 95% are below 30

We underpredict onset cases

**Read more:** Forecasting Fatalities (Hegre et al. 2022) (Model: *fatalities001*)

# Optimizing on log MSE at country month level not such a good idea!

An example: only 'structural' predictors

Consider the situation:

- We observe 49 months of no violence, then 1,000 deaths
- We have no time-varying predictors
- Common in our application!
- What is the best prediction per month?
  - Nils' immediate response: '20?'



#### What is the best prediction per month?

What is the best point prediction per month?

- 0? (best in most months)
- 1000? (best in most interesting month)
- 20? (best calibrated at large 1,000 predicted deaths over the 50 months)
- 0.15 the exponential of ln(1,000)/50?



#### What do the metrics say?

- Comparing performance of various constant-level point predictions
- Which models do metrics prefer?
  - RMSLE at country month: Models close to zero
  - MSE at country month: Very uncertain about what is best, weakly preferring 20/month
  - RMSLE at entire period: Prefers 20/month

Conceivably, the best prediction is 98% 0 and 2% 1000

• Prediction with uncertainty necessary to select a good model!





#### Nonlogged outcome:



#### How to span the uncertainty of armed conflict forecasts

Aim:

• To produce VIEWS forecasts as probability distributions over possible fatality counts Solution:

- Formulating models capturing:
  - uncertainty about model specification
  - sample variation/statistical uncertainty
  - uncertainty of input data

Construct ensembles:

- Extract draws from the probability distributions models imply
- Weight models by CRPS (?)
- At various temporal and spatial resolutions

#### Sample variation

A model-agnostic approach

- Produce predictions for hold-out partition
- Bin them in ranges
- Draw prediction errors at random within bins as estimate of uncertainty
- Stability and Change solution: Conformal prediction

Quantile regression

#### Uncertainty regarding input data: UCDP uncertainty



How many did really die in each conflict?

- Uppsala Conflict Data Program
- UCDP publishes only counts they can solidly verify
- But UCDP knows more people died

Solution: Complementing UCDP's 'best' estimates with probability distributions over the true values

• Distribution obtained through an expert elicitation



## Capturing UCDP coder uncertainty through expert elicitation

Tap into UCDP coders' excellent understanding of reporting uncertainty

- For a selection of coded event types
- Survey to elicit probability distributions across number of fatalities
- According to characteristics such as information situation, number of coded fatalities
- Fit parametric distribution to each survey response
- E.g., lognormal

The UCDP codebook stipulates how to handle imprecise reports

• e.g. many  $\rightarrow$  3

E. If UCDP has coded a best fatality estimate of 13 associated to an event (ged\_best=13), how likely is it that the 'true' number of fatalities related to the event is:



#### Constructing a probability distribution of fatalities for new events

Use the probability distributions for the sample event types to construct an uncertainty model for all events

Possibly:

$$\hat{\theta}_{ij} = \beta \ln(u_i) + \alpha_j + \gamma X + \delta Z + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where

- $\theta_{ij}$  is a distribution parameter  $(m_{ij}, \sigma_{ij})$
- $ln(u_i)$  is log of the coded value
- $\alpha_i$  is a fixed term for the coder
- X is a set of metadata such as information context or type of report
- Z is a set of special values (e.g. 3, 25, 100)



 $16 \, / \, 19$ 

### Modeling when and where did violence occur?

- Mihai Croicu: 'Known geographic imprecision' – UCDP notes location is imprecise and assigns placeholder location
  - Estimate the spatial probability distribution for each conflict
  - Making use of actor data
  - Randomly draw location based on distribution estimated using Gaussian Process model
- Developed at CAS with great advice from Nils!



#### Nowcasting the UCDP data

Timeline of data collection:

- UCDP delivers monthly 'candidate' data
- In May every year, they publish final data for the preceding year
- Candidate data are imperfect approximations to final data
- Solution: 'now-cast' final GED data Current best model:
  - A negative binomial country random effects model

Also developed at CAS!



MSE GED Final vs Predictions: 0.43704482512992093

#### Thanks, and congratulations to Nils!

# Contact: hhegre@prio.org, views@pcr.uu.se Website: https://viewsforecasting.org