

ON CYCLIC FIXED POINTS OF SPECTRA

MARCEL BÖKSTEDT, BOB BRUNER,
SVERRE LUNØE-NIELSEN AND JOHN ROGNES

December 11th 2009

ABSTRACT. For a finite p -group G and a bounded below G -spectrum X of finite type mod p , the G -equivariant Segal conjecture for X asserts that the canonical map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$, from G -fixed points to G -homotopy fixed points, is a p -adic equivalence. Let C_{p^n} be the cyclic group of order p^n . We show that if the C_p -equivariant Segal conjecture holds for a C_{p^n} -spectrum X , as well as for each of its geometric fixed point spectra $\Phi^{C_{p^e}}(X)$ for $0 < e < n$, then the C_{p^n} -equivariant Segal conjecture holds for X . Similar results also hold for weaker forms of the Segal conjecture, asking only that the canonical map induces an equivalence in sufficiently high degrees, on homotopy groups with suitable finite coefficients.

§1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let p be a prime number, even or odd. Graeme Segal's Burnside ring conjecture for a finite p -group G asserts that when $X = S_G$ is the genuinely G -equivariant sphere spectrum, then the canonical map $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG} = F(EG_+, X)^G$ is a p -adic equivalence [Ad82]. For cyclic groups $C = C_p$ of prime order the conjecture was proved by Lin [LDMA80] and Gunawardena [Gu80], [AGM85]. Thereafter Ravenel [Ra81], [Ra84] gave an inductive proof of the Segal conjecture for finite cyclic p -groups $G = C_{p^n}$ of order p^n , starting from Lin and Gunawardena's theorems. Ravenel's result was superseded by Carlsson's proof [Ca84] of the Segal conjecture for all finite p -groups, but as we shall show here, Ravenel's methods are also of interest in a more general context, where X is a quite general G -spectrum. As was elucidated by Miller and Wilkerson [MW83], Ravenel's methods gave two proofs of the Segal conjecture for cyclic groups—one Ext-computational using the modified Adams spectral sequence, and one non-computational, using explicit geometric constructions. We shall generalize Ravenel and Miller–Wilkerson's non-computational inductive proof of the Segal conjecture to a study of when $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$ is “close to” a p -adic equivalence for $G = C_{p^n}$, assuming that $X^C \rightarrow X^{hC}$ is “close to” such an equivalence for $C = C_p$. In the special case when $X = THH(B)$ is the topological Hochschild homology of a connective ring spectrum B of finite type mod p , our results generalize the main theorem of Tsilidis [Ts98].

Our main technical result is Theorem 1.6. Two special cases of principal interest, with $X = B^{\wedge p^n}$ or $X = THH(B)$, respectively, are made explicit in Theorems 1.9 and 1.10. See also Examples 1.11 and 1.12, where we trade some generality for a gain in concreteness.

We first formalize the notion of being “close to” a p -adic equivalence.

Definition 1.1. Let S^{-1}/p^∞ be the Moore spectrum with homology $\mathbb{Z}/p^\infty$ concentrated in degree -1 , so that the function spectrum $F(S^{-1}/p^\infty, Y) = Y_p^\wedge$ is the p -adic completion of an arbitrary spectrum Y . Let W be an object in the localizing ideal [HPS97, Def. 1.4.3(d)] of spectra generated by S^{-1}/p^∞ , i.e., the smallest thick subcategory of spectra that contains S^{-1}/p^∞ and is closed under arbitrary wedge sums, as well as under smash products with arbitrary spectra. This assumption on W implies that $F(W, Y)$ is contractible whenever $Y_p^\wedge$ is contractible.

Let k be an integer, or $-\infty$. We say that a spectrum Y is (W, k) -coconnected if $\pi_* F(W, Y) = 0$ for all $* \geq k$. We say that a map of spectra $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is (W, k) -coconnected if $\text{hofib}(f)$ is (W, k) -coconnected, or equivalently, if $\pi_* F(W, Y_1) \rightarrow \pi_* F(W, Y_2)$ is injective for $* = k$ and an isomorphism for all $* > k$.

Example 1.2. The most obvious choice for W is $W = S^{-1}/p^\infty$, in which case $F(W, Y) = Y_p^\wedge$, so a map $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is (W, k) -coconnected if and only if the p -completed map $f_p^\wedge: (Y_1)_p^\wedge \rightarrow (Y_2)_p^\wedge$ induces an injection on π_* for $* = k$ and an isomorphism for $* > k$. When $k = -\infty$, this is the same as being a p -adic equivalence.

For another class of examples we may take $W = F(V, S)$, where V is a finite CW spectrum whose integral homology is p -torsion, in which case $F(W, Y) \simeq V \wedge Y$ by Spanier–Whitehead duality. In this case $f: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is (W, k) -coconnected if and only if the map $1 \wedge f: V \wedge Y_1 \rightarrow V \wedge Y_2$ induces an injection on π_* for $* = k$ and an isomorphism for $* > k$.

Hereafter we assume that a pair (W, k) has been chosen as in the definition above. Next, we recall some comparison maps between fixed points, geometric fixed points and homotopy fixed points.

Definition 1.3. Let $C = C_p \subset C_{p^n} = G$ and $\bar{G} = G/C \cong C_{p^{n-1}}$. Let $\lambda = \mathbb{C}(1)$ be the basic faithful G -representation of complex rank one, and S^λ its one-point compactification. Let $\infty\lambda$ be the direct sum of a countable number of copies of λ . Its unit sphere $S(\infty\lambda) = EG$ is a free contractible G -CW space, and its one-point compactification $S^{\infty\lambda} = \widetilde{EG}$ sits in a G -homotopy cofiber sequence $EG_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{EG}$, where the first map collapses EG to the non-basepoint. Note the G -homeomorphism $\widetilde{EG} \wedge S^{j\lambda} \cong \widetilde{EG}$ for each $j \geq 0$. Let X be any genuine G -spectrum [LMS86], and consider the vertical map

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} EG_+ \wedge X & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{EG} \wedge X \\ \downarrow \simeq_G & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ EG_+ \wedge F(EG_+, X) & \longrightarrow & F(EG_+, X) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X) \end{array}$$

of horizontal G -homotopy cofiber sequences. Passing to G -fixed point spectra we obtain a vertical map

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X_{hG} & \xrightarrow{N} & X^G & \xrightarrow{R} & \Phi^C(X)^{\bar{G}} \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \Gamma_n & & \downarrow \hat{\Gamma}_n \\ X_{hG} & \xrightarrow{N^h} & X^{hG} & \xrightarrow{R^h} & X^{tG} \end{array}$$

of horizontal homotopy cofiber sequences, called the norm–restriction sequences [GM95, Diag. (C), (D)]. Here

$$\begin{aligned} X_{hG} &= EG_+ \wedge_G X && \text{(homotopy orbits)} \\ X^{hG} &= F(EG_+, X)^G && \text{(homotopy fixed points)} \\ X^{tG} &= [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)]^G && \text{(Tate construction)} \end{aligned}$$

and there is a $\bar{G}$ -equivariant equivalence

$$\Phi^C(X) \simeq [\widetilde{EG} \wedge X]^C \quad \text{(geometric fixed points)}$$

inducing the upper right hand equivalence $[\widetilde{EG} \wedge X]^G \simeq \Phi^C(X)^{\bar{G}}$. For more details, see e.g. [HM97, Prop. 2.1].

The right hand square above is homotopy cartesian, so Γ_n is (W, k) -coconnected if and only if $\hat{\Gamma}_n$ is (W, k) -coconnected. This observation can be combined with the conclusions of all of the theorems below. We write $H_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$ for the mod p homology of any spectrum.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a G -spectrum. Assume that $\pi_*(X)$ is bounded below and $H_*(X)$ is of finite type. Suppose that*

$$\Gamma_1: X^C \rightarrow X^{hC} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{n-1}: \Phi^C(X)^{\bar{G}} \rightarrow \Phi^C(X)^{h\bar{G}}$$

are (W, k) -coconnected maps. Then

$$\Gamma_n: X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$$

is (W, k) -coconnected.

Informally, the theorem asserts that if $X^C \rightarrow X^{hC}$ is close to a p -adic equivalence, and we can inductively prove that $Y^{\bar{G}} \rightarrow Y^{h\bar{G}}$ is close to a p -adic equivalence for $Y = \Phi^C(X)$, then $X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$ is close to a p -adic equivalence.

Corollary 1.7. *Let X be a C_{p^n} -spectrum. Suppose for each of the geometric fixed point spectra*

$$Y = X, \Phi^{C_p}(X), \dots, \Phi^{C_{p^{n-1}}}(X)$$

that Y is bounded below with $H_*(Y)$ of finite type, and that

$$\Gamma_1: Y^{C_p} \rightarrow Y^{hC_p}$$

is (W, k) -coconnected. Then

$$\Gamma_n: X^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow X^{hC_{p^n}}$$

is (W, k) -coconnected.

The proofs of Theorem 1.6 and Corollary 1.7 are given near the end of section 2.

Definition 1.8. Let B be any spectrum. When B is realized as a symmetric spectrum (or an FSP), the r -fold smash power $B^{\wedge r}$ can be defined as a genuine C_r -spectrum by the construction

$$B^{\wedge r} = sd_r THH(B)_0 = THH(B)_{r-1}$$

from [HM97, §2.4]. Its V -th space is defined by a homotopy colimit

$$(B^{\wedge r})_V = \operatorname{hocolim}_{(i_1, \dots, i_r) \in I^r} \operatorname{Map}(S^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge S^{i_r}, B_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge B_{i_r} \wedge S^V),$$

and C_r cyclically permutes the smash factors, in addition to its natural action on S^V . We are principally interested in the case $r = p^n$.

In [LNR:A, Thm. 5.13], the third and fourth authors prove that $\Gamma_1: (B^{\wedge p})^{C_p} \rightarrow (B^{\wedge p})^{hC_p}$ is a p -adic equivalence whenever $\pi_*(B)$ is bounded below and $H_*(B)$ is of finite type. This provides the inductive beginning for the following application of Corollary 1.7.

Theorem 1.9. *Let B be a spectrum with $\pi_*(B)$ bounded below and $H_*(B)$ of finite type. Then*

$$\Gamma_n: (B^{\wedge p^n})^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow (B^{\wedge p^n})^{hC_{p^n}}$$

is a p -adic equivalence, for each $n \geq 1$.

When B is an S -algebra, its topological Hochschild homology $THH(B)$ is a genuine S^1 -spectrum [HM97, §2.4]. It is not true in general that $\Gamma_1: THH(B)^{C_p} \rightarrow THH(B)^{hC_p}$ is a p -adic equivalence, but when it is “approximately” true, then the following theorem is useful.

Theorem 1.10. *Let B be a connective S -algebra with $H_*(B)$ of finite type, and suppose that*

$$\Gamma_1: THH(B)^{C_p} \rightarrow THH(B)^{hC_p}$$

is (W, k) -coconnected. Then

$$\Gamma_n: THH(B)^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow THH(B)^{hC_{p^n}}$$

is (W, k) -coconnected, for each $n \geq 1$.

The proofs of Theorems 1.9 and 1.10 are given at the end of section 2.

In the case $B = S$ there is a G -equivalence $THH(S) \simeq S_G$, and Γ_1 is a p -adic equivalence by the classical Segal conjecture. Also in the case $B = MU$ (the complex bordism spectrum) it turns out that Γ_1 is a p -adic equivalence, as the third and fourth authors show in [LNR:B, Thm. 4.6]. This provides examples for the following special case.

Example 1.11. Taking $W = S^{-1}/p^\infty$, the assumption in Theorem 1.10 is that the p -completed map $\Gamma_1: (THH(B)^{C_p})_p^\wedge \rightarrow (THH(B)^{hC_p})_p^\wedge$ is k -coconnected, i.e., that it induces an injection on π_k and an isomorphism on π_* for $* > k$, and the conclusion is that the p -completed map

$$\Gamma_n: (THH(B)^{C_{p^n}})_p^\wedge \rightarrow (THH(B)^{hC_{p^n}})_p^\wedge$$

is also k -coconnected, for all $n \geq 2$. This recovers a theorem of Tsolidis [Ts98, Thm. 2.4].

Example 1.12. Taking $W = F(V, S)$ and $V = V(1) = S/(p, v_1)$, the Smith–Toda complex of chromatic type 2, the assumption in Theorem 1.10 is that

$$V(1)_*(\Gamma_1): V(1)_*THH(B)^{C_p} \rightarrow V(1)_*THH(B)^{hC_p}$$

is k -coconnected, and the conclusion is that

$$V(1)_*(\Gamma_n): V(1)_*THH(B)^{C_{p^n}} \rightarrow V(1)_*THH(B)^{hC_{p^n}}$$

is also k -coconnected, for all $n \geq 2$. This recovers the generalization of Tsalidis’ theorem used (implicitly) by Ausoni and Rognes [AR02, Thm. 5.7], in the special case when $B = \ell$, the Adams summand of connective p -local complex K -theory, and $k = 2p - 2$. The generalized result is used again in [AR:tck1, Cor. 6.10].

§2. CONSTRUCTIONS AND PROOFS

Definition 2.1. Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be the basic faithful $\bar{G}$ -representation of complex rank one. Like in Definition 1.3, we let $E\bar{G} = S(\infty\bar{\lambda})$ and $\widetilde{E\bar{G}} = S^{\infty\bar{\lambda}}$. There is a $\bar{G}$ -equivalence $\text{hocolim}_j S^{j\bar{\lambda}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \widetilde{E\bar{G}}$. The pullback of $\bar{\lambda}$ along $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ is the p -th tensor power $\lambda^p = \mathbb{C}(p)$ of λ , and there is a G -equivalence

$$\text{hocolim}_j S^{j\lambda^p} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \widetilde{EG},$$

where the right hand side is implicitly viewed as a G -space by pullback along $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$. Each G -map $z: S^{j\lambda^p} \rightarrow S^{(j+1)\lambda^p}$ in the colimit system is induced by the zero-inclusion $\{0\} \subset \lambda^p$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a genuine G -spectrum. There is a natural homotopy cofiber sequence*

$$\text{holim}_j (\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^G \longrightarrow (X^C)^{\bar{G}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{n-1}} (X^C)^{h\bar{G}},$$

where the right hand map is Γ_{n-1} for the $\bar{G}$ -spectrum X^C .

Proof. By mapping the $\bar{G}$ -homotopy cofiber sequence $E\bar{G}_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow \widetilde{E\bar{G}}$ into X^C , we get the homotopy (co-)fiber sequence

$$F(\widetilde{E\bar{G}}, X^C)^{\bar{G}} \rightarrow (X^C)^{\bar{G}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{n-1}} F(E\bar{G}_+, X^C)^{\bar{G}}.$$

Here

$$F(\widetilde{E\bar{G}}, X^C)^{\bar{G}} \simeq \text{holim}_j F(S^{j\bar{\lambda}}, X^C)^{\bar{G}} \simeq \text{holim}_j (\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^G.$$

This gives the asserted homotopy cofiber sequence. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a genuine G -spectrum. There is a vertical map of homotopy cofiber sequences*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{holim}_j \Phi^C(\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{\bar{G}} & \longrightarrow & \Phi^C(X)^{\bar{G}} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{n-1}} & \Phi^C(X)^{h\bar{G}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \hat{\Gamma}_n & & \downarrow (\hat{\Gamma}_1)^{h\bar{G}} \\ \text{holim}_j (\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG} & \longrightarrow & X^{tG} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{n-1}} & (X^{tC})^{h\bar{G}}. \end{array}$$

The right hand horizontal maps are Γ_{n-1} for the $\bar{G}$ -spectra $\Phi^C(X) \simeq [\widetilde{EG} \wedge X]^C$ and $X^{tC} \simeq [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)]^C$, respectively.

Proof. We replace X in the lemma above by the G -spectra $\widetilde{EG} \wedge X$ and $\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)$. This gives the two claimed homotopy cofiber sequences, in view of the $\bar{G}$ -equivalences

$$\Phi^C(\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X) \simeq [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(S^{j\lambda^p}, X)]^C \simeq F(S^{j\bar{\lambda}}, [\widetilde{EG} \wedge X]^C)$$

and

$$(\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tC} \simeq [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, F(S^{j\lambda^p}, X))]^C \simeq F(S^{j\bar{\lambda}}, [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)]^C),$$

respectively. These all follow from the G -dualizability of $S^{j\lambda^p}$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $\hat{\Gamma}_1: \Phi^C(X) \rightarrow X^{tC}$ is (W, k) -coconnected. Then $(\hat{\Gamma}_1)^{h\bar{G}}$ is (W, k) -coconnected.*

Proof. This is a special case of a more general result. The homotopy fixed point spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H^{-s}(G; \pi_t(Y)) \implies \pi_{s+t}(Y^{hG})$$

shows that Y^{hG} is k -coconnected whenever Y is a k -coconnected G -spectrum. Commutation of function spectra, homotopy fibers and homotopy fixed points shows that $Y_1^{hG} \rightarrow Y_2^{hG}$ is (W, k) -coconnected whenever $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a (W, k) -coconnected G -map. The lemma follows by applying this for the $\bar{G}$ -map $\hat{\Gamma}_1$. $\square$

Definition 2.5. The Greenlees filtration [Gr87, p. 437] of $\widetilde{EG}$ is an integer-indexed G -cellular filtration of spectra, whose $2i$ -th term is $S^{i\lambda}$ for each integer i . The $(2i+1)$ -th term is obtained from $S^{i\lambda}$ by attaching a single G -free $(2i+1)$ -cell, and $S^{(i+1)\lambda}$ is in turn obtained from it by attaching a single G -free $(2i+2)$ -cell. The Greenlees filtration induces an increasing filtration of $X^{tG} = [\widetilde{EG} \wedge F(EG_+, X)]^G$, and a tower of homotopy cofibers with $(2i+1)$ -th term

$$(2.6) \quad X^{tG}\langle i \rangle = [\widetilde{EG}/S^{i\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, X)]^G,$$

which we call the Tate tower. The associated spectral sequence is the homological G -equivariant Tate spectral sequence

$$\hat{E}_{s,t}^2 = \hat{H}^{-s}(G; H_t(X))$$

converging to the continuous homology groups

$$H_*^c(X^{tG}) = \lim_i H_*(X^{tG}\langle i \rangle)$$

of X^{tG} , at least when X is a bounded below spectrum with $H_*(X)$ of finite type. See [LNR:A, Def. 2.3, Prop. 4.15]. Note that i tends to $-\infty$ in this limit. We shall also refer to the continuous cohomology groups

$$H_c^*(X^{tG}) = \operatorname{colim}_i H^*(X^{tG}\langle i \rangle),$$

and note that $H_*^c(X^{tG}) \cong H_c^*(X^{tG})^*$ (the Hom dual) when $H_*(X)$ is of finite type, because then each $H_*(X^{tG}\langle i \rangle)$ is also of finite type.

Definition 2.7. Let the G -map $\xi: S^\lambda \rightarrow S^{\lambda^p}$ be the suspension of the degree p covering map $\pi: S^1 = S(\lambda) \rightarrow S(\lambda^p) = S^1/C$ of unit spheres, as in the following vertical map of horizontal G -homotopy cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S(\lambda)_+ & \longrightarrow & S^0 & \longrightarrow & S^\lambda \\ \downarrow \pi_+ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \xi \\ S(\lambda^p)_+ & \longrightarrow & S^0 & \xrightarrow{z} & S^{\lambda^p} \end{array}$$

Then ξ has degree p on the top cell, so $\xi_*: H_*(S^\lambda) \rightarrow H_*(S^{\lambda^p})$ is the zero homomorphism (since we work with reduced homology and mod p coefficients).

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a G -spectrum with $H_*(X)$ bounded below. Then*

$$\lim_j H_*^c((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG}) = \lim_{i,j} H_*((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG} \langle i \rangle) = 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{colim}_j H_c^*((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG}) = \operatorname{colim}_{i,j} H^*((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG} \langle i \rangle) = 0.$$

Proof. In the notation of (2.6) we have a natural equivalence

$$(\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG} \langle i \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} (\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG} \langle i-j \rangle$$

for each i and j , since $S^{j\lambda}$ is G -dualizable. Under this identification, the z -tower map

$$z: (\Sigma^{-(j+1)\lambda^p} X)^{tG} \langle i \rangle \rightarrow (\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG} \langle i \rangle$$

induced by smashing with $z: S^0 \rightarrow S^{\lambda^p}$ corresponds to the composite of the Tate tower map

$$(\Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG} \langle i-j-1 \rangle \rightarrow (\Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG} \langle i-j \rangle$$

induced by smashing with $S^0 \rightarrow S^\lambda$, and the map

$$\xi: (\Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG} \langle i-j \rangle \rightarrow (\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG} \langle i-j \rangle$$

induced by smashing with $\xi: S^\lambda \rightarrow S^{\lambda^p}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\widetilde{EG}/S^{i\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, \Sigma^{-(j+1)\lambda^p} X)]^G & & \\ \downarrow z & \searrow \cong & \\ & [\widetilde{EG}/S^{(i-j-1)\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, \Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)]^G & \\ & \downarrow & \\ [\widetilde{EG}/S^{i\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, \Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)]^G & & [\widetilde{EG}/S^{(i-j)\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, \Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)]^G \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \xi \\ & & [\widetilde{EG}/S^{(i-j)\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, \Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)]^G \end{array}$$

Passing to the limit over i , the homomorphism

$$z_*: H_*^c((\Sigma^{-(j+1)\lambda^p} X)^{tG}) \rightarrow H_*^c((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG})$$

is identified with the homomorphism

$$(2.9) \quad \xi_*: H_*^c((\Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG}) \rightarrow H_*^c((\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG}),$$

so it suffices to show that the limit over j of the latter homomorphisms is zero. Let

$$\hat{E}_{s,t}^2(j) = \hat{H}^{-s}(G; H_t(\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)) \implies H_{s+t}^c((\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG})$$

be the homological Tate spectral sequence for the j -th term in the ξ -tower.

The map ξ_* above is compatible with the spectral sequence map $\hat{E}_{**}^2(j+1) \rightarrow \hat{E}_{**}^2(j)$ that is induced on Tate cohomology by the G -module homomorphism

$$\xi_*: H_*(\Sigma^{(j+1)(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X) \rightarrow H_*(\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X).$$

This homomorphism is zero, since $\xi_*: H_*(S^\lambda) \rightarrow H_*(S^{\lambda^p})$ is zero. Hence the map of spectral sequences is also zero. It follows that the homomorphism ξ_* in (2.9) strictly reduces the Tate filtration ($= s$) of each nonzero continuous homology class. Equivalently, ξ_* strictly increases the vertical degree ($= t$) of the spectral sequence representative of each nonzero class.

By assumption, there is an integer ℓ such that $H_t(X) = 0$ for all $t < \ell$. Then $\hat{E}_{s,t}^2(j) = \hat{E}_{s,t}^\infty(j) = 0$ for $t < \ell$ and any j . If $x = (x_j)_j$ is an arbitrary element of $\lim_j H_*^c((\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG})$, then $x_j = \xi_*^k(x_{j+k})$ for each $k \geq 0$. If x_j is represented in vertical degree t , then x_{j+k} must be represented in vertical degree $\leq (t-k)$. Choosing k so large that $t-k < \ell$, it follows that $x_{j+k} = 0$, which implies $x_j = 0$. Repeating the argument for each j we see that $x = 0$, so $\lim_j H_*^c((\Sigma^{j(\lambda-\lambda^p)} X)^{tG})$ must be the trivial group.

Let $M = \operatorname{colim}_j H_*^c((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG})$. Then the Hom dual M^* is the limit group we just showed is zero, and M injects into its double Hom dual M^{**} , so $M = 0$ as well. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a G -spectrum with $\pi_*(X)$ bounded below and $H_*(X)$ of finite type. Then the p -adic completion $Y_p^\wedge$ of*

$$Y = \operatorname{holim}_j (\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG}$$

is contractible.

Proof. The spectrum Y is the homotopy limit over i and j of the spectra

$$(\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG} \langle i \rangle = [\widetilde{EG}/S^{i\lambda} \wedge F(EG_+, \Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)]^G,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(\widetilde{EG}/S^{i\lambda} \wedge \Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)_{hG}$$

by the Adams equivalence [LMS86, II.8.4], since $\widetilde{EG}/S^{i\lambda}$ is a free G -CW spectrum. Each of these are bounded below with mod p homology of finite type. Hence there is an inverse limit Adams spectral sequence

$$E_2^{**} = \text{Ext}_A^{**}(M, \mathbb{F}_p) \implies \pi_*(Y_p^\wedge)$$

converging to the p -adic homotopy of that homotopy limit (see [CMP87, Prop. 7.1] and [LNR:A, Prop. 2.2]), where

$$M = \text{colim}_j H_c^*((\Sigma^{-j\lambda^p} X)^{tG}).$$

The latter A -module was shown to be zero in Proposition 2.8, hence the E_2 -term is zero and $Y_p^\wedge$ is contractible. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Consider the diagram in Proposition 2.3. By assumption, the maps $\Gamma_{n-1}: \Phi^C(X)^{\bar{G}} \rightarrow \Phi^C(X)^{h\bar{G}}$ and $\Gamma_1: X^C \rightarrow X^{hC}$ are (W, k) -coconnected. Hence $\hat{\Gamma}_1: \Phi^C(X) \rightarrow X^{tC}$ is (W, k) -coconnected, so by Lemma 2.4 also $(\hat{\Gamma}_1)^{h\bar{G}}: \Phi^C(X)^{h\bar{G}} \rightarrow (X^{tC})^{h\bar{G}}$ is (W, k) -coconnected. By Proposition 2.10, the map $\Gamma_{n-1}: X^{tG} \rightarrow (X^{tC})^{h\bar{G}}$ is a p -adic equivalence, hence $(W, -\infty)$ -coconnected, by our standing assumption that W is in the localizing ideal of spectra generated by S^{-1}/p^∞ . It follows easily that $\hat{\Gamma}_n: \Phi^C(X)^{\bar{G}} \rightarrow X^{tG}$ is (W, k) -coconnected, which is equivalent to $\Gamma_n: X^G \rightarrow X^{hG}$ being (W, k) -coconnected. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.7. This follows by induction on n , using Theorem 1.6 and the observation that

$$\Phi^{C_p}(\Phi^{C_{p^e}}(X)) \cong \Phi^{C_{p^{e+1}}}(X)$$

for all $0 \leq e < n$. $\square$

Inspection of the last proof shows we do not really need to assume that the last of the n geometric fixed point spectra in the list, namely $\Phi^{C_{p^{n-1}}}(X)$, is bounded below or has mod p homology of finite type.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. This will follow from Corollary 1.7 in the case $X = B^{\wedge p^n}$, $W = S^{-1}/p^\infty$ and $k = -\infty$, once we show that for each $0 \leq e < n$ there is a $C_{p^{n-e}}$ -equivalence

$$Y = \Phi^{C_{p^e}}(B^{\wedge p^n}) \simeq B^{\wedge p^{n-e}},$$

the right hand side is bounded below with mod p homology of finite type, and $\Gamma_1: Y^{C_p} \rightarrow Y^{hC_p}$ is a p -adic equivalence.

The first claim follows from the proof in simplicial degree 0 of [HM97, Prop. 2.5]. Writing $Y \simeq Z^{\wedge p}$, where $Z = B^{\wedge p^{n-e-1}}$ is bounded below with $H_*(Z)$ of finite type, the other claims also follow, since $\Gamma_1: (Z^{\wedge p})^{C_p} \rightarrow (Z^{\wedge p})^{hC_p}$ is a p -adic equivalence by [LNR:A, Thm. 5.13], generalizing the results of [BMMS86, §II.5]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.10. There is a $C_{p^{n-1}}$ -equivalence

$$r: \Phi^{C_p} THH(B) \xrightarrow{\simeq} THH(B)$$

(the cyclotomic structure map of $THH(B)$, see [HM97, §2.5]), whose e -th iterate is a $C_{p^{n-e}}$ -equivalence $\Phi^{C_{p^e}}(THH(B)) \simeq THH(B)$. It is clear from the simplicial definition that $THH(B)$ is connective and has mod p homology of finite type, hence the theorem follows from Corollary 1.7. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ad82] Adams, J. Frank, *Graeme Segal's Burnside ring conjecture*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **6** (1982), 201–210.
- [AGM85] Adams, J. F.; Gunawardena, J. H.; Miller, H., *The Segal conjecture for elementary abelian p -groups*, Topology **24** (1985), 435–460.
- [AR02] Ausoni, Christian; Rognes, John, *Algebraic K -theory of topological K -theory*, Acta Math. **188** (2002), 1–39.
- [AR:tck1] Ausoni, Christian; Rognes, John, *Algebraic K -theory of the fraction field of topological K -theory*, in preparation.
- [BMMS86] Bruner, R. R.; May, J. P.; McClure, J. E.; Steinberger, M., *H_∞ ring spectra and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1176, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [Ca84] Carlsson, Gunnar, *Equivariant stable homotopy and Segal's Burnside ring conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), 189–224.
- [CMP87] Caruso, J.; May, J. P.; Priddy, S. B., *The Segal conjecture for elementary abelian p -groups. II. p -adic completion in equivariant cohomology*, Topology **26** (1987), 413–433.
- [Gr87] Greenlees, J. P. C., *Representing Tate cohomology of G -spaces*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **30** (1987), 435–443.
- [GM95] Greenlees, J. P. C.; May, J. P., *Generalized Tate cohomology*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1995), no. 543.
- [Gu80] Gunawardena, J. H. C., *Segal's conjecture for cyclic groups of (odd) prime order*, J. T. Knight Prize Essay, Univ. Cambridge, Cambridge (1980).
- [HM97] Hesselholt, Lars; Madsen, Ib, *On the K -theory of finite algebras over Witt vectors of perfect fields*, Topology **36** (1997), 29–101.
- [HPS97] Hovey, Mark; Palmieri, John H.; Strickland, Neil P., *Axiomatic stable homotopy theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1997), no. 610.
- [LMS86] Lewis, L. G., Jr.; May, J. P.; Steinberger, M.; McClure, J. E., *Equivariant stable homotopy theory. With contributions by J. E. McClure*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1213, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [LDMA80] Lin, W. H.; Davis, D. M.; Mahowald, M. E.; Adams, J. F., *Calculation of Lin's Ext groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **87** (1980), 459–469.
- [LNR:A] Lunøe-Nielsen, Sverre; Rognes, John, *The topological Singer construction*, Preprint at <http://folk.uio.no/sverreln/>.
- [LNR:B] Lunøe-Nielsen, Sverre; Rognes, John, *The Segal conjecture for topological Hochschild homology of complex bordism*, Preprint at <http://folk.uio.no/sverreln/>.
- [MW83] Miller, Haynes; Wilkerson, Clarence, *On the Segal conjecture for periodic groups*, Proceedings of the Northwestern Homotopy Theory Conference (Evanston, Ill., 1982), Contemp. Math., vol. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983, pp. 233–246.
- [Ra81] Ravenel, Douglas C., *The Segal conjecture for cyclic groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **13** (1981), 42–44.
- [Ra84] Ravenel, Douglas C., *The Segal conjecture for cyclic groups and its consequences. With an appendix by Haynes R. Miller*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), 415–446.
- [Ts98] Tsilidis, Stavros, *Topological Hochschild homology and the homotopy descent problem*, Topology **37** (1998), 913–934.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, ÅRHUS, DENMARK
E-mail address: marcel@imf.au.dk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, USA
E-mail address: rrb@math.wayne.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, OSLO, NORWAY
E-mail address: sverreln@math.uio.no

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, OSLO, NORWAY
E-mail address: rognes@math.uio.no